

## SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR A CERTAIN FUNCTION CLASS OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SĂLĂGEAN DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

EZEKIEL ABIODUN OYEKAN<sup>1,\*</sup>, AYOTUNDE OLAJIDE LASODE<sup>2</sup>, OLUWASEGUN ADESHINA OLUKOYA<sup>1</sup>, PETER OLUWAFEMI ADEPOJU<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this work, we investigate the bounds on the fourth initial coefficient  $|a_4|$  and the second Hankel determinant  $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$  for a class of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk. The class is connected with the Sălăgean differential operator and involves a linear combination of the classes of strongly bi-starlike functions and strongly bi-convex functions. It was also shown that the investigated class generalized many known classes by varying the parameters in the definition of the class.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

For the unit disk defined by  $\Omega = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ , let  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote the class of regular functions defined in  $\Omega$ . Also let  $\mathfrak{S}$  denote the subclass of  $\mathfrak{A}$  of regular and univalent functions in  $\Omega$  such that the functions in it are normalized by the conditions:  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Functions in  $\mathfrak{S}$  are therefore expressible as

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

The well-known Koebe  $\frac{1}{4}$ -theorem, see [1], states that the image domain of every function  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$  encloses a disk of radius  $\frac{1}{4}$ . The consequence of this theorem is that every single function  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$  has an inverse function  $f^{-1}$  defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad z \in \Omega$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad w : |w| < r_0(f), \quad r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

hence

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{F}(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots$$

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, OLUSEGUN AGAGU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, P.M.B. 353, OKITIPUPA, NIGERIA

<sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILORIN, P.M.B. 1515, ILORIN, NIGERIA

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

*E-mail address:* ea.oyekan@oauastech.edu.ng, segunolukoya2012@yahoo.com, peter.o.adepoju@gmail.com, lasode\_ayo@yahoo.com.

*Key words and phrases.* analytic function; bi-univalent function; strongly bi-starlike function; strongly bi-convex function; coefficient estimate; Hankel determinant; Sălăgean differential operator.

*Received 30/06/2023.*

A function  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  is said to be *bi-univalent* in  $\Omega$  if both  $f$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  are univalent in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of analytic and bi-univalent functions in  $\Omega$ . History has it that Lewin [7] was the first author to define and study the class of bi-univalent functions. The author reported that every bi-univalent function has upper bound  $|a_2| < 1.51$ . Since its introduction, many authors have studied several properties of some subclasses of  $\Sigma$ . One can explore the works in [3–5, 10, 15, 18, 19, 24] for more properties and definitions of some subclasses of  $\Sigma$ . We observe that class  $\Sigma$  is non-empty since we have some examples like  $f(z) = z$ ,  $f(z) = -\log(1 - z)$ ,  $f(z) = z/(1 - z)$  and  $f(z) = \log[(1 + z)/(1 - z)]^{1/2}$  in  $\Sigma$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}(f)$  is the  $j^{th}$  Hankel determinant whose elements are the coefficients of function  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ . In 1966, Pommerenke [22] reported the Hankel determinant  $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}(f)$  as

$$\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+j-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+j-1} & a_{n+j} & \dots & a_{n+2j-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

for  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, the Hankel determinants

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{H}_{2,1}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix} = (a_3 - a_2^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_{2,2}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = (a_2 a_4 - a_3^2)$$

have been studied by several authors for various subclasses of  $\Sigma$ . Observe that  $|\mathfrak{H}_{2,1}(f)|$  is a special case of the well-known Fekete-Szegő functional while  $|\mathfrak{H}_{2,2}(f)|$  is called the second Hankel determinant. For instance see [6, 11, 12, 14, 17] for some results and applications.

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is valid to be in the class  $\Sigma(k, \lambda, \alpha)$  if it satisfies the conditions

$$(4) \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} f(z)}{(1 - \lambda)\mathfrak{D}^k f(z) + \lambda\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} \mathfrak{F}(w)}{(1 - \lambda)\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{F}(w) + \lambda\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} \mathfrak{F}(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ ;  $z, w \in \Omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}(w)$  is define by (2) and  $\mathfrak{D}^k f(z)$  is from the Sălăgean differential operator  $\mathfrak{D}^k : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  (see [13, 16, 20, 21, 23]) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}^0 f(z) &= f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \\ \mathfrak{D}^1 f(z) &= z f'(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n \\ \mathfrak{D}^2 f(z) &= z(\mathfrak{D}^1 f(z))' = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 a_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

which is generally expressed as

$$\mathfrak{D}^k f(z) = z(\mathfrak{D}^{k-1} f(z))' = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^k a_n z^n$$

$z \in \Omega$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

*Remark 1.2.* Some subclasses of the class  $\Sigma(k, \lambda, \alpha)$  are given as follows.

- (1)  $\Sigma(k, 0, \alpha) = \mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}^*(k, \alpha)$ , the class of strongly bi-starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  generalized by the Sălăgean differential operator that satisfy the conditions

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} f(z)}{\mathfrak{D}^k f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} \mathfrak{F}(w)}{\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{F}(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}.$$

- (2)  $\Sigma(0, 0, \alpha) = \mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\alpha)$ , the class of strongly bi-starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  that satisfy the conditions

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{w\mathfrak{F}'(w)}{\mathfrak{F}(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}.$$

- (3)  $\Sigma(1, 0, \alpha) = \mathfrak{C}_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$ , the class of strongly bi-convex functions of order  $\alpha$  that satisfy the conditions

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{(w\mathfrak{F}'(w))'}{\mathfrak{F}'(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}.$$

- (4)  $\Sigma(0, \lambda, \alpha) = \Sigma(\lambda, \alpha)$ , the class of functions studied in [9] that satisfy the conditions

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda f'(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{w\mathfrak{F}'(w)}{(1-\lambda)\mathfrak{F}(w) + \lambda\mathfrak{F}'(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}.$$

## 2. NEEDED LEMMAS

We shall need the following lemmas to establish our result. Firstly, let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be the class of analytic functions with positive real parts in  $\Omega$ , then the following lemmas hold for

(6) 
$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots, \quad q(z) = 1 + q_1w + q_2w^2 + \dots \in \mathfrak{P}.$$

**Lemma 2.1** ([1]). *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $|p_n| \leq 2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** ([8]). *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then*

(7) 
$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x$$

and

(8) 
$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - (4 - p_1^2)p_1x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z$$

for some  $x, z$  with  $|x|, |z| \leq 1$ . In view of (6) and (7), it is easily seen that

(9) 
$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2p_2 = p_1^2 + x(4 - p_1^2) \\ 2q_2 = q_1^2 + y(4 - q_1^2) \end{aligned} \right\} \implies \begin{cases} 2(p_2 - q_2) = (4 - p_1^2)(x - y) \\ 2(p_2 + q_2) = 2p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)(x + y) \end{cases}$$

and from (6) and (8) we have

(10) 
$$\begin{aligned} 4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - (4 - p_1^2)p_1x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \\ 4q_3 = q_1^3 + 2(4 - q_1^2)q_1y - (4 - q_1^2)q_1y^2 + 2(4 - q_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)w \end{aligned} \Bigg\} \\ \implies 4(p_3 - q_3) = 2p_1^3 + 2p_1(4 - p_1^2)(x + y) - 4p_1(4 - p_1^2)(x^2 + y^2) \\ + 2(4 - p_1^2)[(1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w]$$

where  $p_1 = -q_1$ , for some  $w, x, y, z$  with  $|w|, |x|, |y|, |z| \leq 1$  and  $|p_1|, |q_1| \in [0, 2]$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $f \in \Sigma(k, \lambda, \alpha)$ , then*

$$(11) \quad a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha^2(p_2 + q_2)}{3^k 4\alpha(1 - \lambda) + 2^{2k}[2\alpha(\lambda^2 - 1) - (\alpha - 1)(1 - \lambda)^2]}$$

$$(12) \quad a_3 = \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{3^k 4(1 - \lambda)} + \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{2^{2k+1}(1 - \lambda)^2}$$

$$(13) \quad a_4 = \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2(1 + \alpha)(1 + \lambda)}{2^{2k+1} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2^{4k+1}(1 + \lambda)^2} + \frac{7\alpha(1 - \alpha)(2 - \alpha)}{9 \cdot 2^{2k+2}(1 - \lambda)} \right\} p_1^3$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2(1 + \lambda)}{2^{2k+1} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+3}\alpha^2}{3^k(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2(1 + 2\lambda)}{2^{2k+3} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha(1 + 2\lambda)}{2^{2k}(1 - \lambda)} \right\} p_1(p_2 - q_2)$$

$$- \frac{\alpha(1 - \alpha)}{2^{2k+1} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)} p_1(p_2 + q_2) + \frac{\alpha}{2^{2k+1} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)} (p_3 - q_3)$$

The results in (11) and (12) were earlier given by Jothibasu [2] while we proof for (13).

*Proof.* If  $f \in \Sigma$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ , then there are analytic functions  $p(z)$  and  $q(w)$  ( $p(0) = 0 = q(0)$ ,  $|p(z)|, |q(w)| < 1$ ) with  $w, z \in \Omega$ , so that (4) and (5) transforms into

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{D}^{k+1} f(z) = [(1 - \lambda)\mathfrak{D}^k f(z) + \lambda\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} f(z)][p(z)]^\alpha,$$

and

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{D}^{k+1} \mathfrak{F}(w) = [(1 - \lambda)\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{F}(w) + \lambda\mathfrak{D}^{k+1} \mathfrak{F}(w)][q(w)]^\alpha.$$

If we equate the coefficients in (14) and (15), then we get

$$(16) \quad 2^k(1 - \lambda)a_2 = \alpha p_1,$$

$$(17) \quad 2^{2k}(\lambda^2 - 1)a_2^2 + 3^k(2 - 2\lambda)a_3 = \frac{1}{2}[\alpha(\alpha - 1)p_1^2 + 2\alpha p_2],$$

$$(18) \quad 2^k\alpha(1 + \lambda) \left[ \frac{1}{2}p_1^2(1 + \alpha) - p_2 \right] a_2 - 3^k\alpha(1 + 2\lambda)p_1a_3 + 3 \cdot 4^k(1 - \lambda)a_4$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}[6\alpha p_3 + 6\alpha(\alpha - 1)p_1p_2 + \alpha(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)p_1^3],$$

$$-2^k(1 - \lambda)a_2 = \alpha q_1,$$

$$2(1 - \lambda)(2a_2^2 - a_3)3^k + (\lambda^2 - 1)2^{2k}a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}[\alpha(\alpha - 1)q_1^2 + 2\alpha q_2],$$

$$(19) \quad -(1 - \lambda)3 \cdot 4^k a_4 + (1 - \lambda)15 \cdot 4^k a_2 a_3 - (1 - \lambda)15 \cdot 4^k a_2^3$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}[2\alpha q_2 - \alpha q_1^2 + (\alpha q_1)^2](1 + \lambda)2^k a_2 + (1 + 2\lambda)3^k \alpha q_1 q_3 - (1 + 2\lambda)2 \cdot 3^k \alpha q_1 q_3 - (1 + 2\lambda)2 \cdot 3^k \alpha q_1 q_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}[6\alpha q_3 + 6\alpha(\alpha - 1)q_1 q_2 + \alpha(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)q_1^3].$$

Subtracting (18) from (19), using (16), (12) and the fact that  $p_1 = -q_1$  give (13). □

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $f \in \Sigma(k, \lambda, \alpha)$ , then*

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{2,2}(f)| \leq \begin{cases} \varphi(2) & \text{for } \mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) \geq 0 \text{ and } \mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) \geq 0, \\ \max \left\{ \frac{2^3 \alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2}, \varphi(2) \right\} & \text{for } \mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) > 0 \text{ and } \mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) < 0, \\ \frac{2^3 \alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} & \text{for } \mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) \leq 0 \text{ and } \mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) \leq 0, \\ \max \left\{ \frac{2^3 \alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2}, \varphi(2) \right\} & \text{for } \mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) < 0 \text{ and } \mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) = & \left[ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1+2\lambda)}{2^{5k+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3k+2}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \\ & - \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k}(1-\lambda)^4} - \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^3(1-\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} - \frac{5 \cdot 2^3 \alpha^3}{3(1-\lambda)^3} - \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} \\ & \left. + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k}(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2 \cdot 3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) = & \left[ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k-1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+5}\alpha^3}{2^k \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k-2}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \\ & - \frac{\alpha^3}{2^{2k} \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \\ & \left. + \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{2^2 \alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi(2) = \frac{2^3 \alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + 16\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) + 4\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda).$$

*Proof.* Putting (16), (12) and (13) into (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad & a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 \\ & = \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1+2\lambda)}{2^{5k+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3k+2}(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k}(1-\lambda)^4} \right\} p_1^4 \\ & \quad + \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+3}\alpha^3}{2^k \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k}(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^3}{2^{2k+2} \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} \right\} p_1^2(p_2 - q_2) - \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)p_1^2(p_2 + q_2)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \\ & \quad - \frac{\alpha^2(p_2 - q_2)^2}{2^4 \cdot 3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2(p_3 - q_3)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and using (9) and (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad & |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \\
 &= \left| \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1+2\lambda)}{2^{5k+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3k+2}(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k}(1-\lambda)^4} \right\} p_1^4 \right. \\
 &\quad + \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+3}\alpha^3}{2^k \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{\alpha^3}{2^{2k+2} \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} \right\} \frac{p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(x-y)}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad - \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} p_1^4 - \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(x+y)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2(4-p_1^2)^2(x-y)^2}{2^5 \cdot 3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\alpha^2 p_1^4}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(x+y)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\alpha^2 p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(x^2+y^2)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2(4-p_1^2)[(1-|x|^2)z - (1-|y|^2)w]}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We, without restriction, let  $p = p_1$ , which implies that  $p \in [0, 2]$  from Lemma 2.1. So, using triangle inequality with  $X = |x| \leq 1$  and  $Y = |y| \leq 1$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (22) \quad & |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1+2\lambda)}{2^{5k+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3k+2}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k}(1-\lambda)^4} \right\} p^4 + \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+3}\alpha^3}{2^k \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\alpha^3}{2^{2k+2} \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} \right\} \frac{p^2(4-p^2)(X+Y)}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} p^4 \\
 & \quad + \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)p^2(4-p^2)(X+Y)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2(4-p^2)^2(X+Y)^2}{2^5 \cdot 3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^4}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \\
 & \quad + \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4-p^2)(X+Y)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4-p^2)(X^2+Y^2)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4-p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2(4-p^2)(X^2+Y^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23) \quad & |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \\
 & \left[ \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1+2\lambda)}{2^{5k+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3k+2}(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k}(1-\lambda)^4} \right\} p^4 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)p^4}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^4}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4-p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \right] \\
 & + \left[ \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+3}\alpha^3}{2^k \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\alpha^3}{2^{2k+2} \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} \right\} \frac{p^2(4-p^2)}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)p^2(4-p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4-p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \right] (X+Y) \\
 & + \left[ \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4-p^2)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2(4-p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \right] (X^2+Y^2) + \frac{\alpha^2(4-p^2)^2}{2^5 \cdot 3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} (X+Y)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, let (23) be in the equivalent form

$$(24) \quad |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + \mathfrak{U}_2(p)(X + Y) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p)(X^2 + Y^2) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)(X + Y)^2 = \mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)$$

where

$$(25) \quad \mathfrak{U}_1(p) = \left[ \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1 + \alpha)(1 + \lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1 + 2\lambda)}{2^{5k+1}(1 + \lambda)^2(1 - \lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)(2 - \alpha)}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3k+2}(1 - \lambda)^2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k}(1 - \lambda)^4} \right\} p^4 + \frac{\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)p^4}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^4}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2(4 - p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} \right] \geq 0$$

$$(26) \quad \mathfrak{U}_2(p) = \left[ \left\{ \frac{\alpha^3(1 + \lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^3} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+3}\alpha^3}{2^k \cdot 3^k(1 - \lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1 + 2\lambda)}{2^{3k}(1 - \lambda)^2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{\alpha^3}{2^{2k+2} \cdot 3^k(1 - \lambda)^3} \right\} \frac{p^2(4 - p^2)}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)p^2(4 - p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4 - p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} \right] \geq 0$$

$$(27) \quad \mathfrak{U}_3(p) = \left[ \frac{\alpha^2 p^2(4 - p^2)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2(4 - p^2)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} \right] \leq 0$$

$$(28) \quad \mathfrak{U}_4(p) = \frac{\alpha^2(4 - p^2)^2}{2^5 \cdot 3^{2k}(1 - \lambda)^2} \geq 0.$$

We now need to maximize the function  $\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)$  in the closed square

$$S := \{(X, Y) : (X, Y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]\}$$

for the cases  $p = 0$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $p \in (0, 2)$ .

**Case 1:** If  $p = 0$ , then from (24),

$$\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) = \frac{\alpha^2(X + Y)^2}{2 \cdot 3^{2k}(1 - \lambda)^2}$$

and since maximum of  $\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)$  occurs at  $X = 1 = Y$ , then we get

$$\max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) : (X, Y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]\} = \mathfrak{J}_1(1, 1) = \frac{2\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1 - \lambda)^2}.$$

**Case 2:** If  $p = 2$ , then from (24),

$$\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) = \frac{\alpha^3(1 + \alpha)(1 + \lambda)}{2^{3k-3} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1 + 2\lambda)}{2^{5k-3}(1 + \lambda)^2(1 - \lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)(2 - \alpha)}{2^{3k-2} \cdot 3^2(1 - \lambda)^2} \\ + \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k-4}(1 - \lambda)^4} + \frac{\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)}{2^{3k-3} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k-2} \cdot 3(1 - \lambda)^2}$$

and observe that this is a constant function.

**Case 3:** If  $p \in (0, 2)$ , and we let  $X + Y = M$  and  $XY = N$ , then from (24),

$$(29) \quad \mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) = \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + \mathfrak{U}_2(p)M + [\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]M^2 - 2\mathfrak{U}_3(p)N \\ = \mathfrak{J}_2(M, N), \quad M \in [0, 2] \text{ and } N \in [0, 1].$$

To determine the maximum of

$$\mathfrak{J}_2(M, N) : (M, N) \in T := [0, 2] \times [0, 1],$$

consider

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{J}_2(M, N)}{\partial M} = \mathfrak{U}_2(p) + 2[\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]M = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{J}_2(M, N)}{\partial N} = -2\mathfrak{U}_2(p) = 0$$

which clearly show that the function  $\mathfrak{J}_2(M, N)$  has no critical point inside  $T$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)$  has no critical point in the square  $S$ . We can then conclude that function  $\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)$  does not have local maximum value in the inside of square  $S$ .

Now we investigate the maximum of  $\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)$  on the boundary of the square  $S$ .

**Case 3(a):** If  $X = 0, Y \in [0, 1]$  (or  $Y = 0, X \in [0, 1]$ ), then from (29),

$$(30) \quad \mathfrak{J}_1(0, Y) = \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + \mathfrak{U}_2(p)Y + [\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]Y^2 = \tau_1(Y),$$

and

$$\tau_1'(Y) = \mathfrak{U}_2(p) + 2[\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]Y,$$

hence since  $[\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)] \geq 0$ , then

$$\tau_1'(Y) = \mathfrak{U}_2(p) + 2[\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]Y > 0.$$

This means that the function  $\tau_1(Y)$  is an increasing function for all  $Y \in [0, 1]$  and the maximum occurs at  $Y = 1$ . We can now say that from (30),

$$(31) \quad \max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(0, Y) : Y \in [0, 1]\} = \mathfrak{J}_1(0, 1) = \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + \mathfrak{U}_2(p) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p).$$

**Case 3(b):** If  $X = 1, Y \in [0, 1]$  (or  $Y = 1, X \in [0, 1]$ ), then from (29),

$$(32) \quad \mathfrak{J}_1(1, Y) = \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + \mathfrak{U}_2(p) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p) + [\mathfrak{U}_2(p) + 2\mathfrak{U}_4(p)]Y + [\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]Y^2 = \tau_2(Y),$$

then

$$\tau_2'(Y) = [\mathfrak{U}_2(p) + 2\mathfrak{U}_4(p)] + 2[\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]Y.$$

hence since  $[\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)] \geq 0$ , then

$$\tau_2'(Y) = [\mathfrak{U}_2(p) + 2\mathfrak{U}_4(p)] + 2[\mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p)]Y > 0.$$

This means that  $\tau_2(Y)$  is an increasing function  $\forall Y \in [0, 1]$  and the maximum occurs at  $Y = 1$ . We can now say that from (32),

$$(33) \quad \max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(1, Y) : Y \in [0, 1]\} = \mathfrak{J}_1(1, 1) = \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + 2[\mathfrak{U}_2(p) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p)] + 4\mathfrak{U}_4(p).$$

It can be concluded from (31) and (33) that for  $p \in (0, 2)$ ,

$$\mathfrak{U}_1(p) + 2[\mathfrak{U}_2(p) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p)] + 4\mathfrak{U}_4(p) > \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + \mathfrak{U}_2(p) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p) + \mathfrak{U}_4(p).$$

Hence

$$(34) \quad \max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) : X \in [0, 1], Y \in [0, 1]\} = \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + 2[\mathfrak{U}_2(p) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p)] + 4\mathfrak{U}_4(p)$$



and in conclusion, since  $\tau_1(1) \leq \tau_2(1)$  for  $t \in [0, 2]$ , then

$$\max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)\} = F(1, 1)$$

occurs on the boundary of square  $S$ . The implication of this is that the maximum of  $\mathfrak{J}_1$  occurs at  $X = 1 = Y$  on the closed square  $S$

Also to consider the maximum point at (34), let  $\varphi : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as

$$(35) \quad \varphi(p) = \max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y)\} = \mathfrak{J}_1(1, 1) = \mathfrak{U}_1(p) + 2[\mathfrak{U}_2(p) + \mathfrak{U}_3(p)] + 4\mathfrak{U}_4(p).$$

Putting (25), (26), (27) and (28) into (35) gives

$$(36) \quad \varphi(p) = \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + \left[ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^4(1+2\lambda)}{2^{5k+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\lambda)} + \frac{7\alpha^2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3k+2}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \\ + \frac{\alpha^4}{2^{4k}(1-\lambda)^4} + \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^3(1-\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} - \frac{5 \cdot 2^3\alpha^3}{3(1-\lambda)^3} - \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} \\ \left. + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k}(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)}{2^{3k+2} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k+3} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2 \cdot 3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} \right] p^4 \\ + \left[ \frac{\alpha^3(1+\lambda)}{2^{3k-1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k+5}\alpha^3}{2^k \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{\alpha^3(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^3} + \frac{3^{k-1}\alpha^2(1+2\lambda)}{2^{3k-2}(1-\lambda)^2} \right. \\ - \frac{\alpha^3}{2^{2k} \cdot 3^k(1-\lambda)^3} - \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k+1} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{2^2\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{3k} \cdot 3(1-\lambda)^2} \right] p^2.$$

and for brevity we have

$$(37) \quad \varphi(p) = \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + \mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)p^4 + \mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda)p^2.$$

Let us investigate the maximum value of  $\varphi(p)$  in the interval  $(0, 2)$ , then from (37) we get

$$\varphi'(p) = 4\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)p^3 + 2\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda)p.$$

**Result 1:** If  $\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) \geq 0$  and  $\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) \geq 0$ , then we observe that  $\varphi(p)$  is an increasing function, hence the maximum point has to be on the boundary  $p = 2$ , so

$$(38) \quad \max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) : X \in [0, 1], Y \in [0, 1]\} = \varphi(2) \\ = \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} + 16\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) + 4\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda).$$

**Result 2:** If  $\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) > 0$  and  $\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) < 0$ , then we get

$$\varphi'(p) = 4\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)p^3 + 2\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda)p = 0$$

which implies that we have a critical point at

$$(39) \quad p_0 = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu_2}{2\mu_1}}$$

and for

$$\varphi''(p_0) = 12\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)p^2 + 2\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) > 0,$$

then the maximum value of function  $\varphi(p)$  is at  $p_0$ , so from (37) we get

$$\varphi(p_0) = \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{[\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda)]^2}{4\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)},$$

hence

$$\varphi(p_0) < \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2}$$

and

$$\max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) : X \in [0, 1], Y \in [0, 1]\} = \max\left\{\frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2}, \varphi(2)\right\}.$$

**Result 3:** If  $\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) \leq 0$  and  $\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) \leq 0$ , then observe that  $\varphi'(p) \leq 0$  which shows that function  $\varphi(p)$  is a decreasing function, hence the maximum point has to be on the boundary  $p = 0$ , so

$$(40) \quad \max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) : X \in [0, 1], Y \in [0, 1]\} = \varphi(0) = \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2}.$$

**Result 4:** If  $\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda) < 0$  and  $\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) > 0$ , then observe that

$$\varphi'(p) = 4\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)p^3 + 2\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda)p = 0$$

implies that we have a critical point at

$$(41) \quad p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu_2}{2\mu_1}}$$

and for

$$\varphi''(p_1) = 12\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)p^2 + 2\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda) < 0,$$

then the maximum value of function  $\varphi(p)$  is at  $p_1$ , so from (37) we get

$$\varphi(p_1) = \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{[\mu_2(k, \alpha, \lambda)]^2}{4\mu_1(k, \alpha, \lambda)},$$

hence

$$\varphi(p_1) > \frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2}$$

and

$$\max\{\mathfrak{J}_1(X, Y) : X \in [0, 1], Y \in [0, 1]\} = \max\left\{\frac{2^3\alpha^2}{3^{2k}(1-\lambda)^2}, \varphi(2)\right\}.$$

Hence the proof complete from the discussed cases. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions vol. II, Mariner Publishing Company Inc., Tampa, Florida, (1983).
- [2] J. Jothibas, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Sălăgean operator, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 3(1) (2015) 150–157.
- [3] A.O. Lasode, Estimates for a generalized class of analytic and bi-univalent functions involving two  $q$ -operators, Earthline J. Math. Sci. 10(2) (2022) 211–225. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.10222.211225>.
- [4] A.O. Lasode, T.O. Opoola, On a generalized class of bi-univalent functions defined by subordination and  $q$ -derivative operator, Open J. Math. Anal. 5(2) (2021) 46–52. <https://doi.org/10.30538/psrp-oma2021.0092>.

- [5] A.O. Lasode, T.O. Opoola, Hankel determinant of a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by means of subordination and  $q$ -differentiation, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 13(2) (2022) 3105–3114. <https://doi.org/10.22075/IJNAA.2022.24577.2775>.
- [6] A.O. Lasode, T.O. Opoola, Coefficient problems of a class of  $q$ -starlike functions associated with  $q$ -analogue of Al-Oboudi-Al-Qahtani integral operator and nephroid domain, *J. Class. Anal.* 20(1) (2022) 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jca-2022-20-04>.
- [7] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967) 63–68. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1967-0206255-1>.
- [8] R.J. Libera, E.J. Zlotkiewicz, Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85 (1982) 225–230. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1982-0652447-5>.
- [9] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, N. Magesh, V. Prameela, Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013) Article ID 573017. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/573017>.
- [10] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in  $|z| < 1$ , *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 32 (1969) 100–112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00247676>.
- [11] O.A. Olukoya, E.A. Oyekan, Coefficient bounds for the functions in the class of modified hyperbolic tangent function, *Coast J. Fac. Sci., Ondo state Univ. Sci. Technol., Okitipupa*, 1(2) (2019) 222–224.
- [12] E.A. Oyekan. Certain geometric properties of functions involving Galuè type Struve function, *Ann. Math. Computat. Sci.* 8 (2022) 43–53.
- [13] E.A. Oyekan, Gegenbauer polynomials for certain subclasses of Bazilevič functions associated with a generalized operator defined by convolution, *Gulf J. Math.* 14 (2023) 77–88. <https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v14i2.967>.
- [14] E.A. Oyekan, S. O. Aderibola, New results on the Chebyshev polynomial bounds for classes of univalent functions, *Asia Pac. J. Math.* 7 (2020) 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/7-24>.
- [15] E.A. Oyekan, I.T. Awolere, Polynomial bounds for bi-univalent functions associated with the probability of generalized distribution defined by generalized polylogarithms via Chebyshev polynomials, *Coast J. Fac. Sci. Technol., Okitipupa* 2 (2020) 222–224.
- [16] E.A. Oyekan, I.T. Awolere, A new subclass of univalent functions connected with convolution defined via employing a linear combination of two generalized differential operators involving sigmoid function, *Maltepe J. Math.* 2(2) (2020) 82–96. <https://doi.org/10.47087/mjm.791841>.
- [17] E.A. Oyekan, A.O. Lasode, Estimates for some classes of analytic functions associated with Pascal distribution series, error function, Bell numbers and  $q$ -differential operator, *Nigerian J. Math. Appl.* 32 (2022) 163–173.
- [18] E.A. Oyekan, T.A. Olatunji, A.O. Lasode, Application of  $(p, q)$ -Gegenbauer polynomials on a family of bi-univalent functions, *Earthline J. Math. Sci.* 12(2) (2023) 271–284. <https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.12223.271284>.
- [19] E.A. Oyekan, T.O. Opoola, On subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by generalized Sălăgean operator related to shell-like curves connected with Fibonacci numbers, *Libertas Math.* 41 (2021) 1–20.
- [20] E.A. Oyekan, S.R. Swamy, P.O. Adepoju, T.A. Olatunji, Quasi-convolution properties of a new family of close-to-convex functions involving a  $q$ - $p$ -Opoola differential operator, *Intern. J. Math. Trends Technol.* 69(5) 70–77. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V69I5P506>.
- [21] E. A. Oyekan, S. R. Swamy and T. O. Opoola, Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized operator involving convolution, *Intern. J. Math. Trends Technol.* 67(1) (2021), 88–100. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V67I1P513>.
- [22] C. Pommerenke, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 41 (1966) 111–122. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-41.1.111>.
- [23] G.S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lect. Notes Math.* 1013 (1983) 362–372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0066543>.
- [24] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra, P. Gochhayt, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23 (2010) 1188–1192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009>.