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#### Abstract

We prove a uniform key renewal theorem with submultiplicative estimate of the remainder. The asymptotic behavior of the renewal measure on Borel sets of arbitrary form is also considered.


## 1. Notation and assumptions

Let $F$ be a probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with finite positive mean $\mu$ and let $U=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{n *}$ be the corresponding renewal measure; here $F^{1 *}:=F, F^{(n+1) *}:=F * F^{n *}, n \geq 1$, and $F^{0 *}:=\delta$, the atomic measure of unit mass at the origin, the symbol $*$ means convolution of measures.

A function $\varphi(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$, is called submultiplicative if $\varphi(x)$ is a finite, positive, Borelmeasurable function with the following properties: $\varphi(0)=1, \varphi(x+y) \leq \varphi(x) \varphi(y), x$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Here are some examples of such functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}:=[0, \infty): \varphi(x)=(1+x)^{r}, r>0$; $\varphi(x)=\exp \left(c x^{\gamma}\right)$ with $c>0$ and $\gamma \in(0,1) ; \varphi(x)=\exp (r x)$ with $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if $R(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, is a positive, ultimately nondecreasing regularly varying function at infinity with a nonnegative exponent $\gamma$ (i.e., $R(t x) / R(x) \rightarrow t^{\gamma}$ for $t>0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ [4, Section VIII.8]), then there exist a nondecreasing submultiplicative function $\varphi(x)$ and a point $x_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $c_{1} R(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq c_{2} R(x)$ for all $x \geq x_{0}$, where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are some positive constants [ 6 , Proposition]. The product of a finite number of submultiplicative functions is again a submultiplicative function.

It is well known [5, Section 7.6] that

$$
-\infty<r_{1}:=\sup _{x<0} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} \leq \inf _{x>0} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x}=: r_{2}<\infty .
$$

Consider the collection $S(\varphi)$ of all complex-valued measures $\varkappa$ such that

$$
\|\varkappa\|_{\varphi}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x)|\varkappa|(d x)<\infty
$$

here $|\varkappa|$ stands for the total variation of $\varkappa$. The collection $S(\varphi)$ is a Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ by the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication of measures, the product of two elements $\nu$ and $\varkappa$ of $S(\varphi)$ is defined as their convolution $\nu * \varkappa$ [5, Section 4.16]. The unit element of $S(\varphi)$ is the measure $\delta$. Define the Laplace transform of a measure $\varkappa$ as
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$\hat{\varkappa}(s):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp (s x) \varkappa(d x)$. The Laplace transform of any $\varkappa \in S(\varphi)$ converges absolutely with respect to $|\varkappa|$ for all $s$ in the strip $\Pi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right):=\left\{s \in \mathbb{C}: r_{1} \leq \Re s \leq r_{2}\right\}$.

Let $\nu$ be a finite complex-valued measure. Denote by $T \nu$ the $\sigma$-finite measure with the density $v(x ; \nu):=\nu((x, \infty))$ for $x \geq 0$ and $v(x ; \nu):=-\nu((-\infty, x])$ for $x<0$. In case $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x||\nu|(d x)<\infty$, $T \nu$ is a finite measure whose Laplace transform is given by $\widehat{T \nu}(s)=[\widehat{\nu}(s)-\widehat{\nu}(0)] / s, \Re s=0$, the value $\widehat{T \nu}(0)$ being defined by continuity as $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x \nu(d x)<\infty$. Let $\nu$ be a measure and $f(x)$ be a function. Denote by $\nu * f(x)$ their convolution $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) \nu(d y)$.

The absolutely continuous part of any distribution $F$ will be denoted by $F_{c}$, and its singular component by $F_{\sigma}$, i.e., $F_{\sigma}=F-F_{c}$. It is known (see [7, Section 4]) that $T|\nu| \in S(\varphi) \Rightarrow \nu \in$ $S(\varphi)$. Suppose that $r_{1} \leq 0 \leq r_{2}, \varphi(x) / \exp \left(r_{1} x\right)$ is nonincreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $\varphi(x) / \exp \left(r_{2} x\right)$ is nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$. Let $F$ be a distribution with finite positive mean $\mu$ such that $T F \in S(\varphi)$. Assume that $\widehat{\left(F^{m *}\right)_{\sigma}}\left(r_{i}\right)<1, i=1,2$, for some $m \geq 1$. In particular, this means that the distribution $F^{m *}$ has an absolutely continuous component. Let $\widehat{F}(s) \neq 1$ for $s \in \Pi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \backslash\{0\}$. Let $\alpha \in S(\varphi)$. Denote by mes $(A)$ the Lebesgue measure of a Borel set $A$. Let us call a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ bounded from the left if there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A \subseteq[a, \infty)$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_{A}(x)$ the indicator of a set $A$. The relation $a(x) \sim c b(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ means that $a(x) / b(x) \rightarrow c$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

## 2. Key renewal theorem

We shall need the following lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $\nu$ and $\varkappa$ be finite measures. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\nu * \varkappa)=(T \nu) * \varkappa+\nu(\mathbb{R}) T \varkappa . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to show that the densities of both sides of (1) coincide. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. The density of the left-hand side is equal to

$$
\nu * \varkappa((x, \infty))=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu((x-y, \infty)) \varkappa(d y) .
$$

Note that if $\alpha$ is a measure with density $a(x)$ and $\beta$ is a finite measure, then the function $\beta * a(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x-y) \beta(d y)$ is the density of $\alpha * \beta$. Indeed, let $A$ be a Borel set. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x-y) \beta(d y) d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{A} a(x-y) d x \beta(d y) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{A-y} a(z) d z \beta(d y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha(A-y) \beta(d y)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the assertion. Put $\mathbb{R}_{-}:=\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{R}_{+}$. The density of the right-hand side of (1) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\nu((x-y, \infty)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(x-y)-\right. & \left.\nu((-\infty, x-y]) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{-}}(x-y)\right] \varkappa(d y) \\
& +\nu(\mathbb{R})\left[\varkappa((x, \infty)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(x)-\varkappa((-\infty, x)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{-}}(x)\right], \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, it is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{x} \nu((x-y, \infty)) \varkappa(d y)-\int_{x}^{\infty} \nu((-\infty, x-y]) \varkappa(d y)+\nu(\mathbb{R}) \varkappa((x, \infty)) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{x} \nu((x-y, \infty)) \varkappa(d y)-\int_{x}^{\infty}[\nu(\mathbb{R})-\nu((x-y, \infty))] \varkappa(d y) \\
& \quad+\nu(\mathbb{R}) \varkappa((x, \infty))=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu((x-y, \infty)) \varkappa(d y),
\end{aligned}
$$

which establishes the equality of both densities on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. A similar argument applies when $x \in \mathbb{R}_{-}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_{-}$. The density of the left-hand side of (1) is equal to $-\nu * \varkappa((-\infty, x])$, whereas the right-hand side has the density

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\nu((x-y, \infty)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(x-y)-\nu((-\infty, x-y]) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{-}}(x-y)\right] \varkappa(d y) \\
& +\nu(\mathbb{R})\left[\varkappa((x, \infty)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(x)-\varkappa((-\infty, x]) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{-}}(x)\right] \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{x} \nu((x-y, \infty)) \varkappa(d y)-\int_{x}^{\infty} \nu((-\infty, x-y]) \varkappa(d y)-\nu(\mathbb{R}) \varkappa((-\infty, x]) \\
& \left.=\int_{-\infty}^{x}[\nu(\mathbb{R})-\nu((x-y, \infty))] \varkappa(d y)-\int_{x}^{\infty} \nu((x-y, \infty))\right] \varkappa(d y) \\
& -\nu(\mathbb{R}) \varkappa((-\infty, x])=-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu((\infty, x-y]) \varkappa(d y)=-\nu * \varkappa((-\infty, x]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Both densities also coincide on $\mathbb{R}_{\text {- }}$ and hence they coincide on the whole of $\mathbb{R}$.
We now state the main theorem. In comparison with Theorem 3.1 in [7], it involves a less restrictive condition on the underlying distribution $F$.

Theorem 1. Let the assumptions of Section 1 be satisfied. Suppose that $\alpha \in S(\varphi)$ and that $g(x) \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$, is a Borel-measurable function with the properties $g \cdot \varphi \in L_{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g(x) \varphi(x) \leq$ $C<\infty, x \in \mathbb{R}$.
I. If $g(x) \varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f:|f| \leq g}\left|U * \alpha * f(x)-\frac{\alpha(\mathbb{R})}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) d y\right|=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the f's being Borel measurable.
II. If $g(x) \varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow-\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U * \alpha * g(x)=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow-\infty . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $L$ be the restriction of Lebesgue measure to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Put $\mathcal{A}=S(\varphi)$ in Theorem 3.1 [7]. We have $U=U_{1}+U_{2}$, where $U_{2} \in S(\varphi)$ and $U_{1}=L / \mu+r T U_{2}$ for some $r>r_{2}$. By Lemma 1,

$$
r T U_{2} * \alpha=T\left(r U_{2} * \alpha\right)-r \widehat{U}_{2}(0) T \alpha=r T\left[U_{2} * \alpha-\widehat{U}_{2}(0) \alpha\right]
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U * \alpha=\frac{L * \alpha}{\mu}+r T\left[U_{2} * \alpha-\widehat{U}_{2}(0) \alpha\right]+U_{2} * \alpha=: \frac{L * \alpha}{\mu}+T U_{3}+U_{4} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $U_{3}$ and $U_{4}$ belong to $S(\varphi)$. It follows from (4) that

$$
U * \alpha * f(x)=\frac{L * \alpha * f(x)}{\mu}+T U_{3} * f(x)+U_{4} * f(x)
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
L * \alpha * f(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha * f(x-y) d y=\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) d y-\int_{-\infty}^{0} \alpha * f(x-y) d y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equalities (4) and (5) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
U * \alpha * f(x) & -\frac{\alpha(\mathbb{R})}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) d y=T U_{3} * f(x)+U_{4} * f(x) \\
& -\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \alpha * f(x-y) d y=: I_{1}(x)+I_{2}(x)-\frac{1}{\mu} I_{3}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further,

$$
I_{1}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x-y) U_{3}((y, \infty)) d y-\int_{-\infty}^{0} f(x-y) U_{3}((-\infty, y]) d y=: I_{4}(x)-I_{5}(x)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|I_{4}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(x-y) g(x-y) \varphi(y)\left|U_{3}\right|((y, \infty)) d y \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(x-y) g(x-y) \int_{y}^{\infty} \varphi(u)\left|U_{3}\right|(d u) d y \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi(v) g(v) \int_{x-v}^{\infty} \varphi(u)\left|U_{3}\right|(d u) d v \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, x]}(v) \varphi(v) g(v) \int_{x-v}^{\infty} \varphi(u)\left|U_{3}\right|(d u) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrand tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and is majorized by $\varphi(v) g(v)\left\|U_{3}\right\|_{\varphi} \in L_{1}(\mathbb{R})$. By Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem, the integral tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f:|f| \leq g}\left|I_{4}(x)\right|=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|I_{5}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \varphi(x-y) g(x-y) \int_{-\infty}^{y} \varphi(u)\left|U_{3}\right|(d u) d y  \tag{7}\\
& \leq \frac{\left\|U_{3}\right\|_{\varphi}}{\varphi(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \varphi(x-y) g(x-y) d y \\
& \quad=\frac{\left\|U_{3}\right\|_{\varphi}}{\varphi(x)} \int_{x}^{\infty} \varphi(v) g(v) d v=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f:|f| \leq g}\left|I_{1}(x)\right|=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $I_{2}(x):\left|I_{2}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x-y) g(x-y) \varphi(y)\left|U_{4}\right|(d y)$. By hypotheses, the integrand tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and is majorized by the $\left|U_{4}\right|$-integrable function $C \varphi(x)$. By Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem, the integral tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f:|f| \leq g}\left|I_{2}(x)\right|=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral $I_{3}(x)$ is equal to $\int_{x}^{\infty} \alpha * f(y) d y$. The condition $g \cdot \varphi \in L_{1}(\mathbb{R})$ implies that the measure, $G$, with density $g$ belongs to $S(\varphi)$. Therefore, the measure $|\alpha| * G$ with density $|\alpha| * g$ also belongs to $S(\varphi)$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{f:|f| \leq g} \mid I_{3}(x) & \leq \int_{x}^{\infty}|\alpha| * g(y) d y  \tag{10}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{x}^{\infty} \varphi(y)|\alpha| * g(y) d y=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

Summing up relations (8)-(10), we arrive at the desired conclusion (2). The remaining relation (3) is proved similarly.

## 3. Asymptotics of the renewal measure on Borel sets

Blackwell's renewal theorem states that if $G$ is a nonarithmetic distribution with positive mean $\mu_{G}$ and $U_{G}$ is the renewal measure generated by $G$, then $U_{G}((x, x+h]) \rightarrow h / \mu_{G}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for fixed $h>0$ (see [3, Theorem 1] and [4, Chapter XI, Section 1, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 2. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 with $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ for $x \leq 0$ are satisfied and let $A$ be a Borel set bounded from the left which has finite Lebesgue measure. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B \subseteq A}\left|U * \alpha(B+x)-\frac{\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \operatorname{mes}(B)}{\mu}\right|=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B+x:=\{y \in \mathbb{R}: y-x \in B\}$.
Proof. We have $T F \in S(\varphi) \Rightarrow F \in S(\varphi)$. Put $g(x)=\mathbf{1}_{-A}(x)$, where $-A:=\{x \in \mathbb{R}:-x \in A\}$, and put $f(x)=\mathbf{1}_{-B}(x)$. Obviously,

$$
U * \alpha(B+x)=U * \alpha * f(x), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d x=\operatorname{mes}(-B)=\operatorname{mes}(B) .
$$

Theorem 1 implies (11).
Putting $\alpha=\delta$ in Theorem 2 we get the following analog of Blackwell's theorem with submultiplicative estimate of the remainder, even for possibly unbounded Borel sets.

Corollary 1. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then

$$
U(A+x)-\frac{\operatorname{mes}(A)}{\mu}=o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Remark 1. The requirement that the distribution $F^{m *}$ have an absolutely continuous component for some $m \geq 1$ is also necessary for the validity of relation (11). Indeed, if the requirement is not fulfilled, then the measure $U$ is concentrated on a set $B$ of Lebesgue measure zero. Take as $A$ the set $B \cap[0,1]$ and put $\alpha=\delta$. By Blackwell's renewal theorem for nonarithmetic distributions, the left-hand side in (11) tends to $1 / \mu \neq 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ while the right-hand side tends to zero, i.e., relation (11) does not hold.

Remark 2. Let $F$ be a probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$with finite mean $\mu$ such that for some $m \geq 1$ the distribution $F^{m *}$ has an absolutely continuous component and let $I$ be a bounded interval. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{B \subset I}\left|G * U(t+B)-\mu^{-1} \operatorname{mes}(B)\right|=0
$$

where $G$ is an arbitrary initial distribution and $B$ is a Borel set [2, Corollary 2]. If $F$ is a probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with positive mean $\mu$ such that for some $m \geq 1$ the distribution $F^{m *}$ has an absolutely continuous component, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} U(t+B)=\mu^{-1} \operatorname{mes}(B)$ for all bounded Borel sets $B$ (see the remark after the proof of Theorem 2.6.4 in [1]). These results also follow from Theorem 2 with $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$.
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