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#### Abstract

We parallelly discuss the construction of cyclic linear codes as ideals in the finite cyclic group ring as well as zero-divisors therein. We also determine a complete set of primitive idempotents in the finite cyclic group ring over a field of characteristic $p$.


## Introduction

Cyclic codes are among the most important types of codes in algebraic coding theory. They provide a substantial link between coding theory and various algebraic structures, and they are important for both theoretical and practical reasons; in fact, most existing linear codes in use are cyclic codes. The first connection between codes and group rings of finite groups appeared in the work of F.G. MacWilliams 1969 [4] in which cyclic codes were identified with ideals in the group algebras of cyclic groups (see also [5]), consequently, two sided ideals in a group algebra are named codes. Since then the algebraic structure of the group ring has been deeply involved in the study and constructions of codes. In particular properties of (central) primitive idempotents in the group algebra of finite groups over finite fields are heavily used in codes construction [6], [7]. On the other hand it is shown in [3] that cyclic codes are exactly zero-divisor codes in group rings of cyclic groups. Also Reed-Muller codes are extended cyclic codes and have been shown to be associated with the group ring of the elementary abelian 2-group [5]. In this paper, the zero divisor construction of cyclic codes is investigated in parallel with the ideal construction in the cyclic group ring. The main aim is to determine a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of the group algebra of a cyclic group over a field of characteristic $p$ (Theorem 3.1.1) and investigate the structure of the ideal codes (projective indecomposable modules) generated by primitive idempotents (Theorem 3.1.5). We also investigate the cyclic codes generated by those primitive idempotents as zero divisor type codes in the group ring of the cyclic group (Theorem 3.2.1).

## 1. Preliminaries

Here we explain the concept of linear cyclic codes and how they are realized as ideals in the group rings of the cyclic groups as well as zero divisors therein.

[^0]1.1. Linear Codes. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A code of length $n$ on $\mathbb{F}$ is subset $C$ of $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A code $C$ of $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ called a trivial code if $q=1$, a binary code if $q=2$, and if $q=3$ is ternary code, etc. It is known that $\mathbb{F}^{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mid a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}\right\}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\left(a_{1}+b_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \\
\lambda\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left(\lambda a_{1}, \ldots, \lambda a_{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. If the code $C$ is subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{n}$, then it is called linear code (or $[n, k]$-code on $\mathbb{F}$ where $k=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} C$ ). As such if $C$ is subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ is an $[n, k]$-code then $|C|=|\mathbb{F}|^{k}$; that is, $C$ consists of $|\mathbb{F}|^{k}=q^{k}$ vectors (codewords). In particular $[n, k]$-binary codes has $2^{k}$ codewords. The $(k \times n)$-matrix whose rows are the basis vectors of an $[n, k]$-code $C$ of $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ is called a generator matrix $G(C)$ of $C$ (since a subspace in priniciple has more than one basis, a code has more than one generator matrix). A parity check matrix $H(C)$ for a $[n, k]$-linear code $C$ is $((n-k) \times n)$-matrix satisfying $G(C) H(C)^{T}=0$ where 0 is $(k \times(n-k))$-zero matrix, and $H(C)^{T}$ is the transpose of $H(C)$. The distance between two code words in $C$ of $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ is the number of positions in which they differ. The minimum distance $d$ of $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{n}$ is the minimum number among all codword distances. An $[n, k]$-code with minimum distance $d$ is referred to as $[n, k, d]$-code. The minimum distance of a code $C$ controls the error detection and correction capability of $C$; an $[n, k, d]$-code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{n}$ has detection capability $l=d-1$, correction capability $t=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, and (Singleton Bound) $d \leqslant n-k+1$. A code achieving this bound is called maximum distance separable (MDS for short). A cyclic shift is a linear map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi: \mathbb{F}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n} \\
\pi\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left(a_{n}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$. A cyclic code $C$ is an $[n, k]$-linear code over $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ which the cyclic shift of each codeword in $C$ is also in $C$. Note that this implies that if $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in C$ then all its circular permutations are in $C$.
1.2. Cyclic Codes as Ideals in the Group Ring $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$. To explain the connection between cyclic codes and the cyclic group rings note first that we have a linear isomorphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi: \mathbb{F}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1> \\
\varphi\left(\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)\right)=\left[a_{0}+a_{1} x+\ldots+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $[g(x)]=g(x)+<x^{n}-1>$ for all $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. On the other hand cyclic codes are ideals in $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$ according to the following

Lemma 1.2.1. A linear code $C$ is cyclic if and only if $\varphi(C)$ is an ideal $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$.
Proof. If a linear code $C$ is cyclic. then $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right) \in C \Rightarrow\left(a_{n-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-2}\right) \in C$, this implies $\left[a_{0}+a_{1} x+\ldots+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}\right] \in \varphi(C) \Rightarrow\left[a_{n-1}, a_{0} x+a_{1} x^{2}+\ldots+a_{n-2} x^{n-1}\right] \in \varphi(C)$ and so $\varphi(C)$ is closed under multiplication by $[x],\left[x^{2}\right],\left[x^{3}\right], \ldots$ which generate $\left.\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1\right\rangle$, therefore $\varphi(C)$ ideal in $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$. Conversely, suppose $\varphi(C)$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{F}[x] /<$ $x^{n}-1>$. This means that $\varphi(C)$ is closed under multiplication by $[x],\left[x^{2}\right],\left[x^{3}\right], \ldots$. But this is equivalent to the fact that $C$ (the inverse image) is closed under taking shifts, hence $C$ cyclic.

Note that a commutative quotient ring $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$ is principal ideal ring (PIR for short). We deduced that from the following Lemma

Lemma 1.2.2. (see $[8]$ ): The polynomial ring (algebra) $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is a principle ideal domain.
That means if $C$ be an ideal (cyclic code) in a quotient ring $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$; that is, $C$ of length $n$, then there is generator polynomial $f(x)$ because $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$ is PIR, which is unique monic polynomial of minimum degree in $C$, and divide $x^{n}-1$. If $\operatorname{deg}(f(x))=r$, then dimension $C$ is $k=n-r$; that is,

$$
C=<f(x)>=\left\{q(x) f(x) \bmod \left(x^{n}-1\right) \mid q(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]\right\}
$$

A generator matrix of $C$ when a generator polynomial $f(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\ldots+c_{r} x^{r}$ where $c_{0} \neq 0$ and $\left\{f(x), x f(x), \ldots, x^{k-1} f(x)\right\}$ is basis for $C$ is

$$
G(C)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r} & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, a cyclic code can be generated from singular circulant matrix. If $f(x) r(x) \equiv 0 \bmod$ $\left(x^{n}-1\right)$ where $r(x)$ be the polynomial of minimal degree, then $r(x)$ is check polynomial gives a check matrix $H(C)$ of the code $C$.

The group algebra of a finite group $G$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is $\mathbb{F} G=\left\{\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g} g \mid \alpha_{g} \in \mathbb{F}\right\}$ which consists of all formal $\mathbb{F}$-linear combinations of elements of $G$ and satisfying the following (algebra) operations:
(1) $\left(\sum_{g \in \mathrm{G}} \alpha_{g} g\right)+\left(\sum_{g \in \mathrm{G}} \beta_{g} g\right)=\sum_{g \in \mathrm{G}}\left(\alpha_{g}+\beta_{g}\right) g$.
(2) $\left(\sum_{x \in \mathrm{G}} \alpha_{x} x\right)\left(\sum_{y \in \mathrm{G}} \beta_{y} y\right)=\sum_{x \in \mathrm{G}}\left(\sum_{y \in \mathrm{G}} \alpha_{x} \beta_{y} x y\right)=\sum_{x \in \mathrm{G}}\left(\sum_{g \in \mathrm{G}} \alpha_{g} \beta_{g^{-1} x}\right) x$.
(3) $\lambda\left(\sum_{g \in \mathrm{G}} \alpha_{g} g\right)=\sum_{g \in \mathrm{G}} \lambda \alpha_{g} g$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.
where the elements of $G$ form an $\mathbb{F}$-basis for $\mathbb{F} G$; that is, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F} G)=|G|$. If $G$ is abelian group, then $\mathbb{F} G$ is commutative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra. A group ring $R G$ is generalization of a group algebra $\mathbb{F} G$ where $R$ is a ring. The following demonstrates the connection between the commutative $\mathbb{F}$ algebras $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$ and $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ where $C_{n}=<g \mid g^{n}=1>$

Lemma 1.2.3. $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>\cong \mathbb{F} C_{n}$ (algebra isomorphism); the group ring of the cyclic group $C_{n}$ over the field $\mathbb{F}$.

Proof. The map $\psi: \mathbb{F}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F} C_{n}$ given by $\psi(f(x))=f(g)$; for all $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is clearly an algebra epimorphism with $\operatorname{ker} \psi=\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle$.

Since the quotient ring $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$ is principal ideal ring and as $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>\cong \mathbb{F} C_{n}$ (ring isomorphism), then we conclude the following

Proposition 1.2.4. The group algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ is a principal ideal ring.

That means every ideal in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ is principal has the form $\mathbb{F} C_{n} a=\left\{r a \mid r \in \mathbb{F} C_{n}\right\}$ which generated by element $a$ in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$. Combining proposition 1.2.4, Lemma 1.2.3 and Lemma 1.2.1 we conclude the following theorem

Theorem 1.2.5. Every cyclic code of length $n$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is an ideal in the group algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$.

Therefore, a cyclic code in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ has the form $\mathbb{F} C_{n} a$ where $a \in \mathbb{F} C_{n}$, which is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(a)$.

## 2. Codes From Group Rings

F. MacWilliams [4] was the first one to consider cyclic codes as ideals of the group ring $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$. In 2006, T. Hurley [2] proved a characterization of the group ring $R G$; where $G$ is a finite group of order $n$ and $R$ is a ring, as a ring of $n \times n$ matrices over $R$. Then P. Hurley and T. Hurley in [3] used that characterization to study properties of group ring elements in terms of the properties of the corresponding matrices in order to construct and analyze systems of zero-divisors and unit-derived codes which are more general codes from ideals such as cyclic codes. In this section we describe the Hurley characterization of $R G$ and his algorithm for constructing zero-divisor type code.
2.1. Hurely Characterization of Group Rings. Suppose that $G=\left\{g_{1}=i, g_{2}, g_{3}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ is a fixed listing for the elements of a group $G$. Consider the $n \times n$ (coding) matrix of $G$

$$
\mathrm{M}(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
g_{1}^{-1} g_{1} & g_{1}^{-1} g_{2} & \ldots & g_{1}^{-1} g_{n} \\
g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} & g_{2}^{-1} g_{2} & \ldots & g_{2}^{-1} g_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
g_{n}^{-1} g_{1} & g_{n}^{-1} g_{2} & \ldots & g_{n}^{-1} g_{n}
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n}
$$

Then for each $a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g_{i}} g_{i} \in R G$, define the matrix $\mathrm{M}(R G, a) \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ as follows:

$$
\mathrm{M}(R G, a)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{g_{1}^{-1} g_{1}} & \alpha_{g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}} & \ldots & \alpha_{g_{1}^{-1} g_{n}} \\
\alpha_{g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}} & \alpha_{g_{2}^{-1} g_{2}} & \ldots & \alpha_{g_{2}^{-1} g_{n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha_{g_{n}^{-1} g_{1}} & \alpha_{g_{n}^{-1} g_{2}} & \ldots & \alpha_{g_{n}^{-1} g_{n}}
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n}
$$

In [2], T.Hurley proved that the correspondence $a \longleftrightarrow-\cdots \mathrm{M}(R G, a)$ defines a ring isomorphism.
2.2. Zero Divizors Type Codes. Given a zero-divisor $u \in R G$ such that $u v=0 ; v \in(R G)^{*}$, and let $W$ be an $R$-submodule of $R G$ with a basis of a group elements $S \subseteq G$. The zerodivisor code derived from $\boldsymbol{u}$ is either the left code $C=u W=\{u x \mid x \in W\}$ or the right code $C=W u=\{x u \mid x \in W\}$. Thus a code is constructed from a zero divisor in $R G$, an $R$-submodule $W$ and, when $R G$ is non-commutative, a left or right encoding; so we use $\mathcal{C}[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{S}]$ to denote the left code $C=u W$ and $\mathcal{C}[\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{u}]$ to denote the right code $C=W u$. Clearly in both cases the code $C$ is of length $n=|G|$ and $\operatorname{dim} C$ will depend on the choice of $W$. A code $C$ may be derived from different zero divisors and different submodules. We shall use the right encoding $C=W u$ as the left encoding is similar; $u$ is called a generator element of the code $C$ relative to the submodule $W$. In particular if we take $W=R G$, then $C=R G u$ is a left ideal in the group ring $R G$; this is the special case when $\operatorname{rank} U=\operatorname{dim} W u$. Since $u$ is a zero-divisor with $u v=0 ; v \in(R G)^{*}$, it follows that $C v=W u v=0$ and we may express
$C=\{y \in R G \mid y v=0\}$; the (non-zero) element $v$ is called the right check element of $C$. Since $W$ is generated by the subset $S$ of $G$, it follows that $C$ is an $[n, k]$-code where $k=\operatorname{rank} S u$.
2.3. Cyclic Codes as Zero Divisor Type Codes $\operatorname{In} \mathbb{F} C_{n}$. Here we shall take the ring $R$ in section 2.2 to be a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p>0$ and $G=C_{n}$; the cyclic group of order $n$. The following theorem shows that all cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}$ (or $\mathbb{F}$-codes) are zero divisor type codes

Theorem 2.3.1. Every cyclic code of length $n$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is a zero-divisor type code in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 1.2.3 and Theorem 1.2.5. Let $f(x)$ be generator polynomial of a cyclic code $C$ of length $n$ in $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$. Thus, $f(x)$ divides $x^{n}-1$; that is, there is nonzero polynomial $r(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}[x] /<x^{n}-1>$ such that $f(x) r(x) \equiv 0 \bmod \left(x^{n}-1\right)$. This means that $f(x)$ is a zero divisor in $\mathbb{F}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{F} C_{n}$ and $C$ is a zero divisor type code.

## 3. Idempotents In $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$

From now on we assume that $p \mid n$; hence the group algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ is not semisimple. We note that idempotents in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ are zero divisors and, as such, they generate ideal codes in the group algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ (Theorem 1.2.5) as well as zero-divisor type codes therein (see section 2.2). If $0 \neq e \in \mathbb{F} C_{n}$ is a primitive idempotent then $P=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e$ is a minimal ideal in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$, hence defines a cyclic code $\mathcal{C}(e)$ in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$. On the other hand $e$ is a zero-divisor in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ (as $e \neq 0$ and $e(e-1)=0 ;(1-e) \neq 0)$, hence generates a zero-divisor type code $\mathcal{C}[S, e]=\mathbb{F} S e$ with respect to a subset $S$ of $G=C_{n}$. We shall compare the two constructions and determine for which subset $S \subseteq G$ the two codes $\mathcal{C}(e)$ and $\mathcal{C}[S, e]$ are identical. First, we shall describe a complete set of primitive idempotents in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$.
3.1. Primitive Idempotents in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$. In this section we give a complete set of primitive idempotents in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$. Suppose that $n=p^{a} r ; p \nmid r$ for $a, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and the field $\mathbb{F}$ is taken to be a splitting field for all subgroups of $C_{n}$. It is known (see [1], p.34) that $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ has $r$ multiplicative characters $\Phi_{j} ; j=0,1,2, \ldots, r-1$ defined in terms of a primitive $r$-th roots of unity $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ as follows: $\Phi_{j}(x)=\lambda^{j}$. Write $S_{0}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r-1}$ for the one dimensional $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$-modules which afford the characters $\Phi_{j} ; j=0,1,2, \ldots, r-1$. Every idempotent $e$ in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ is central because for all $a \in \mathbb{F} C_{n}$ we have $e a=a e$, since $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ commutative algebra. An idempotent $e$ in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ is primitive if $\mathbb{F} C_{n} e$ is indecomposable.

Theorem 3.1.1. If $\Lambda=\mathbb{F} G ; G=C_{n} ; n=p^{a} r ; p \nmid r, \operatorname{char} \mathbb{F}=p$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is a primitive $r$-th root of unity and $H=<x^{p^{a}}>\leq G$, then the

$$
e_{j}=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{t=0}^{r-1}\left(\lambda^{t}\right)^{j} x^{t p^{a}}=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} \lambda^{t j} x^{t p^{a}} ; j=0,1, \ldots, r-1
$$

is a complete set of primitive $r$ central orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$.

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{0}=\frac{1}{r}\left(i+x^{p^{a}}+x^{2 p^{a}}+\ldots+x^{p^{a}(r-1)}\right) \\
& e_{1}=\frac{1}{r}\left(i+\lambda x^{p^{a}}+\lambda^{2} x^{2 p^{a}}+\ldots+\lambda^{r-1} x^{p^{a}(r-1)}\right) \\
& e_{2}=\frac{1}{r}\left(i+\lambda^{2} x^{p^{a}}+\lambda^{4} x^{2 p^{a}}+\ldots+\lambda^{r-2} x^{p^{a}(r-1)}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& e_{r-1}=\frac{1}{r}\left(i+\lambda^{r-1} x^{p^{a}}+\lambda^{r-2} x^{2 p^{a}}+\ldots+\lambda x^{p^{a}(r-1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are elements in the group algebra of $\mathbb{F} H=\mathbb{F}<x^{p^{a}}>$. Since char $\mathbb{F}=p \nmid r, \mathbb{F}<x^{p^{a}}>$ is semisimple and as such it has $r$ simple (1-dimensional) characters $\psi_{j} ; j=0, \ldots, r-1$ where $\psi_{j}\left(x^{p^{a}}\right)=\lambda^{r-j}$, ( $\psi_{0}$ is the trivial character). Moreover for all $j=0,1,2, \ldots, r-1$, $x^{p^{a}} e_{j}=\lambda^{r-j} e_{j}$. This means that $\mathbb{F} e_{j} \cong_{\mathbb{F}<x^{p^{a}}>} \psi_{r-j} ; j=0,1,2, \ldots, r-1$. Let $L_{j}=\mathbb{F}<x^{p^{a}}>e_{j}$; $j=0,1, \ldots, r-1$ be the one dimensional $\mathbb{F}<x^{p^{a}}>$-module which affords $\psi_{r-j}$. Write $P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j} ; 0 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$; the ideal of $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ generated by $e_{j}$. Clearly $P_{j}$ is an $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$-submodule of the regular module ${\mathbb{F} C_{n}}^{F} C_{n}$. We shall discuss the primitivity of $e_{j}$ by studying the structure of $P_{j}$.

Proving the primitivity of the elements $e_{j} ; j=0,1, \ldots, r-1$ in Theorem 3.1.1 usually amounts to proving that the (Hecke) algebra $e_{j} \mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}$ is local algebra (i.e. has no idempotents other than 0 and $e_{j}$ ). However, to reach that conclusion and to avoid long calculation, we shall use the technique of the induced module and apply the Green's indecomposability Theorem. The following lemma proves that $P_{j}$ is an induced module from the subgroup $H$. Lemma 3.1.2 (3) follows directly by applying the Green's indecomposability Theorem (see [9], Theorem 11.10).

Lemma 3.1.2. (1) $x^{p^{a}} e_{j}=\lambda^{r-j} e_{j} ; 0 \leq j \leq r-1$, hence $\mathbb{F} e_{j} \cong{ }_{F<x^{p^{a}}>} \psi_{r-j}$.
(2) $P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j} \cong_{\mathbb{F} C_{n}} \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{C_{n}} L_{j}$; in particular $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} P_{j}=\left[C_{n}: H\right]=p^{a}$.
(3) $P_{j}$ is indecomposable; $\forall j=0,1, \ldots, r-1$.

The following Lemma is essential in the proof of Theorem 3.1.1
Lemma 3.1.3. Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $0 \leq \gamma \leq r-1 ;\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq r-1 \mid s+t \equiv_{r} \gamma\right\}\right|=r$.
Example 3.1.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{|r|r|r|}
\hline & 0 & 1 \\
\hline(0,0) & (1,0) & (0,2) \\
\hline(1,2) & (0,1) & (2,0) \\
\hline(2,1) & (2,2) & (1,1) \\
\hline
\end{array} \begin{array}{r|r|r|r|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline(0,0) & (1,0) & (0,2) & (3,0) \\
\hline(2,2) & (0,1) & (2,0) & (0,3) \\
\hline(3,1) & (2,3) & (1,1) & (1,2) \\
\hline(1,3) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (2,1) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
r=5:
$$

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,3)$ | $(0,4)$ |
| $(1,4)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,3)$ |
| $(2,3)$ | $(2,4)$ | $(2,0)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(2,2)$ |
| $(3,3)$ | $(3,3)$ | $(3,4)$ | $(3,0)$ | $(3,1)$ |
| $(4,1)$ | $(4,2)$ | $(4,3)$ | $(4,4)$ | $(4,0)$ |

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1.1: It is clear (since $\lambda$ is a primitive $r$-th root of unity) that $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq r-1} e_{j}=i$. It remains to show that $e_{j} ; j=0,1, \ldots, r-1$ are primitive orthogonal idempotents.
(1) We show that $e_{j} ; 0 \leq j \leq r-1$ are orthogonal idempotents. Consider the product

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{j} e_{k} & =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \lambda^{s j} x^{s p^{a}}\right)\left(\sum_{t=0}^{r-1} \lambda^{t k} x^{t p^{a}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{s, t=0}^{r-1} \lambda^{s j} \lambda^{t k} x^{s p^{a}} x^{t p^{a}} \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq r-1}\left[\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq r-1: s+t \equiv_{r} \gamma} \lambda^{s j+t k}\right] x^{\gamma p^{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the coefficient of $x^{\gamma p^{a}} ; 0 \leq \gamma \leq r-1$, in $e_{j} e_{k}$ is

$$
c_{j, k}^{\gamma}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq r-1: s+t \equiv r \gamma} \lambda^{s j+t k}
$$

(I) If $j=k$, then the coefficient of $x^{\gamma p^{a}}$ in $e_{j}{ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq r-1: s+t \equiv_{r} \gamma} \lambda^{s j+t j}\right) & =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq r-1: s+t=\equiv_{r} \gamma} \lambda^{(s+t) j}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq r-1: s+t \equiv_{r} \gamma\right\}\right| \lambda^{\gamma j} \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}} r \lambda^{\gamma j} \quad \text { By Lemma 3.1.3 } \\
& =\frac{1}{r} \lambda^{\gamma j} \\
& =\text { Cf. of } x^{\gamma p^{a}} \text { in } e_{j} ; \text { for all } 0 \leq \gamma \leq r-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $e_{j}{ }^{2}=e_{j}$; for all $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ and so $e_{j}$ is an idempotent.
(II) If $j \neq k$, then the coefficient of $x^{\gamma p^{a}} ; 0 \leq \gamma \leq r-1$, in $e_{j} e_{k}$ is

$$
c_{j, k}^{\gamma}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq r-1: s+t \equiv_{r} \gamma} \lambda^{s j+t k}
$$

The summation in $c_{j, k}^{\gamma}$ involves $r$ terms (Lemma 3.1.3) consists of different $r$-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}$, hence this summation equals 0 . Therefore $c_{j, k}^{\gamma}=0$; for all $0 \leq j \neq k, \gamma \leq r-1$ and so $e_{j} e_{k}=0$; for all $0 \leq j \neq k, \gamma \leq r-1$ which means that the idempotents $e_{j} ; j=0, \ldots, r-1$ are orthogonal.
(2) Finally, to show that $e_{j}$ is primitive we consider the module $P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j} \cong_{\mathbb{F} C_{n}} \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{C_{n}} L_{j}$. Since $\left[C_{n}: H\right]=p^{a}$, it follows from the Green indecomposability theorem (see [9], Theorem 11.10), that $P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}$ is indecomposable, hence $e_{j}$ is primitive.

It follows that the module $P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}$ is a direct summand of the regular $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$-module ${ }_{\mathbb{F} C_{n}} \mathbb{F} C_{n}$. As such $P_{j}$ is a projective indecomposable $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$-module and also an ideal in $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$. We shall discuss the structure of $P_{j}$ in the next section. The following determines the structure of $P_{j}$ as a cyclic code.

Theorem 3.1.5. If $\Lambda=\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ and $e_{j}$ as in Theorem 3.1.1, then $\mathcal{C}\left(e_{j}\right)=P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}=$ $\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1}^{\oplus} \mathbb{F} x^{u} e_{j}$ is an $\left[n, p^{a}, r\right]$-code.

Proof. Since $C_{n}=\dot{U}_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} H x^{u}$, we have $P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1}^{\oplus} \mathbb{F} x^{u} e_{j}$, and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{C}\left(e_{j}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{C_{n}} L_{j}=\left[C_{n}: H\right]=p^{a}$. Also the minimum weight of $\mathcal{C}\left(e_{j}\right)$ is $r$.
3.2. Which Subset $S \subseteq G$ Gives $\mathcal{C}[S, e] \approx \mathcal{C}(e)$ ? Since the index $\left[C_{n}: H\right]=p^{a}$, then the cosets $i H, x H, \ldots, x^{p^{a}-1} H$ of $H$ partition $C_{n}$ into $p^{a}$ disjoint sets of cardinality $r$, hence a transversal set for the $C_{n} \backslash H$ has $p^{a}$ elements which contain only one element of each coset of $H$.

Theorem 3.2.1. Suppose $\Lambda=\mathbb{F} C_{n}, n=p^{a} r ; p \nmid r, \operatorname{char} \mathbb{F}=p$. If $e_{j}$ is the primitive orthogonal idempotent in Theorem 3.1.1. Let $S \subseteq G=C_{n}$ be a transversal for the $C_{n} \backslash H ; H=<x^{p^{a}}>$. Then the ideal code $\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}$ is a zero-divisor type code and $\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}=\mathcal{C}\left[S, e_{j}\right]$.

Proof. Clearly $\mathbb{F} C_{n} e_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \mathbb{F} x^{u} e_{j}=\sum_{s \in S} \mathbb{F} s e_{j}$ where $S=\left\{x^{u}\right\}_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1}$.

## 4. The Structure of the Projective Indecomposables $P_{j}$

The group algebra $\Lambda=\mathbb{F} C_{n}, n=p^{a} r ; p \nmid r$, char $\mathbb{F}=p$ is known to have $r$ blocks each block contains one projective indecomposable $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$-module $P_{j} ; 0 \leq j \leq r-1$ and hence contains one simple $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$-module. It follows that $P_{j}$ is uniserial with a single composition factor. The following determines the structure of $P_{j}$.

Theorem 4.0.1. Let $\alpha_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{c_{u}} x^{u} e_{j} \in P_{j} ; c_{u} \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$. Then
(1) $x \alpha_{j}=\lambda^{\gamma} \alpha_{j} ; \gamma \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$ if and only if $\gamma p^{a} \equiv_{r}(r-j)$; there is a unique value of such $\gamma$. In particular $S_{\lambda}=$ Socle of $P_{j}$ and $P_{j}$ is the projective cover of $S_{\lambda}$.
(2) For any $c \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$, take the $p^{a}$-tuple $(c+u(r-j))_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1}=\left(\mu_{u}\right)_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1}$. Then $\alpha_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{\mu_{u}} x^{u} e_{j} \in P_{j}$ satisfies $\mathbb{F} . \alpha_{j} \cong_{\mathbb{F} C_{n}} S_{\lambda} \leq_{\mathbb{F} C_{n}} P_{j}$.

Proof. (1) We have $x \alpha_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{c_{u}} x^{u+1} e_{j}=\left[\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-2} \lambda^{c_{u}} x^{u+1} e_{j}\right]+\lambda^{c_{p}{ }^{a}-1} x^{p^{a}} e_{j}$. Hence

$$
x \alpha_{j}=\lambda^{c_{p}{ }^{a}-1}-j e_{j}+\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-2} \lambda^{c_{u}} x^{u+1} e_{j}, \text { as } \quad x^{p^{a}} e_{j}=\lambda^{r-j} e_{j}
$$

While $\lambda^{\gamma} \alpha_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{c_{u}+\gamma} x^{u} e_{j}$. Therefore $x \alpha_{j}=\lambda^{\gamma} \alpha_{j}$ if and only if

$$
\lambda^{c_{p}{ }^{a}-1}-j e_{j}+\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-2} \lambda^{c_{u}} x^{u+1} e_{j}=\lambda^{c_{0}+\gamma} e_{j}+\sum_{1 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{c_{u}+\gamma} x^{u} e_{j}
$$

which is equivalent to the equality

$$
\lambda^{c_{p^{a}-1}-j} e_{j}+\sum_{1 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{c_{u-1}} x^{u} e_{j}=\lambda^{c_{0}+\gamma} e_{j}+\sum_{1 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{c_{u}+\gamma} x^{u} e_{j}
$$

By comparing coefficients, we have $x \alpha_{j}=\lambda^{\gamma} \alpha_{j} \Leftrightarrow$

$$
\text { (1) } \quad \lambda^{c_{0}+\gamma}=\lambda^{c_{p a-1}-j}, \quad \text { (2) } \quad \lambda^{c_{u}+\gamma}=\lambda^{c_{u-1}} ; \forall 1 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1
$$

This is equivalent to the following system of congruent linear equations:
(1) $c_{0}+\gamma \equiv{ }_{r} c_{p^{a}-1}+(r-j)$,
(2) $c_{u}+\gamma \equiv_{r} c_{u-1} ; \forall 1 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1$

Solving this system $(*)$ for $c_{p^{a}-1}$ by recursive substitution we get from equation (1)

$$
c_{p^{a}-1}+p^{a} \gamma \equiv_{r} c_{p^{a}-1}+(r-j)
$$

which is equivalent to the modular identity $p^{a} \gamma \equiv_{r}(r-j)$. Since $r, \gamma$ are relatively prime, $\gamma$ is uniquely determined for each $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$.
(2) Fix the (unique) solution $\gamma \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$ for the modular identity $p^{a} \gamma \equiv_{r}(r-j)$. The solution set for system $(*)$ of linear congruences consists of $r$ of $p^{a}$-tuples
$\left\{\left(c_{0}, c_{0}+(r-j), c_{0}+2(r-j), \ldots, c_{0}+\left(p^{a}-1\right)(r-j)\right\} ; c_{0} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, r-1\}\right.$
(sum and product inside tuples are taken $\bmod r$ ). For any $c=c_{0} \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$, the solution
$\left(c, c+(r-j), c+2(r-j), \ldots, c+\left(p^{a}-1\right)(r-j)\right)=(c+u(r-j))_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1}=\left(\mu_{u}\right)_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1}$ gives rise to an element $\alpha_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq p^{a}-1} \lambda^{\mu_{u}} x^{u} e_{j} \in \mathrm{P}_{j}$ which generates $\mathrm{S}_{\gamma}$.

## 5. Examples

5.1. Primitive Idempotents in the Group Algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{6}$. We have $6=2 \times 3$, so we consider the two (non-semisimple) cases when char $\mathbb{F}=2 \vee 3$.
5.1(1) char $\mathbb{F}=2$ : Take the extention field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /<x^{2}+x+1>=\left\{0,1, \lambda, \lambda^{2}=\lambda+1\right\}$, since $x^{2}+x+1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. It is clear that $1, \lambda, \lambda^{2}$ are 3 -th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}$ satisfying $\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1=0 . \mathbb{F} C_{6}$ has 3 simple (1-dimensional) representations $S_{0}, S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. The three primitive idempotents are

$$
e_{0}=i+x^{2}+x^{4}, \quad e_{1}=i+\lambda x^{2}+\lambda^{2} x^{4}, \quad e_{2}=i+\lambda^{2} x^{2}+\lambda x^{4}
$$

Hence $\quad \mathbb{F}_{6} \mathbb{F}_{6}=P_{0} \oplus P_{1} \oplus P_{2} ; P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{6} e_{j}=\mathbb{F} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{j} ; j=0,1,2, x^{2} e_{j}=\lambda^{3-j} e_{j} ; j=0,1,2$.
For $\gamma, j \in 0,1,2: 2 \gamma \equiv_{3}(3-j) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{lr}\gamma=0 \wedge j=0 & S_{0} \leq P_{0} \\ \gamma=1 \wedge j=1 \\ \gamma=2 \wedge j=2 & \text { hence } S_{1} \leq P_{1} \\ S_{2} \leq P_{2}\end{array}\right.$
(1) In $P_{0}=\mathbb{F} C_{6} e_{0}=\mathbb{F} e_{0} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{0}, \alpha_{0}=e_{0}+x e_{0}=\sum_{g \in C_{6}} g \in P_{0}$ generates $S_{0}$, hence $P_{0}=\begin{aligned} & S_{0} \\ & S_{0}\end{aligned}$
(2) In $P_{1}=\mathbb{F} C_{6} e_{1}=\mathbb{F} e_{1} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{1}, \alpha_{1}=e_{1}+\lambda^{2} x e_{1} \in P_{1}$ generates $S_{1}$, hence $P_{1}=\begin{gathered}S_{1} \\ S_{1}\end{gathered}$
[We get $\alpha_{1}=e_{1}+\lambda^{2} x e_{1} \in P_{1}$ by taking $c=0$ in the proof of Theorem 4.0.1(2) to get the 2-tuple $\left(0,0+_{3}(3-1)\right)=(0,2)$. The other two 2-tuples $(1,1+3(3-1))=(1,0)$ and
$\left(2,2+_{3}(3-1)\right)=(2,1)$ give two choices: $\alpha_{1}=\lambda e_{1}+x e_{1}$ or $\alpha_{1}=\lambda^{2} e_{1}+\lambda x e_{1}$ in both cases $\left.x \alpha_{1}=\lambda \alpha_{1}\right)$
(3) In $P_{2}=\mathbb{F} C_{6} e_{2}=\mathbb{F} e_{2} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{2}, \alpha_{2}=e_{2}+\lambda x e_{2} \in P_{2} \quad$ generates $S_{2}$, hence $P_{2}=\begin{aligned} & S_{2} \\ & S_{2}\end{aligned}$ [We may also take $\alpha_{2}=\lambda e_{2}+\lambda^{2} x e_{2}$ or $\alpha_{2}=\lambda^{2} e_{2}+x e_{2}$ in both cases $x \alpha_{2}=\lambda^{2} \alpha_{2}$ as above] For clarity, we explain the product coefficients $c_{j, k}^{\gamma}$ discussed in Theorem 3.1.1 through this example

$$
c_{j, k}^{\gamma}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{3^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{r} \gamma\right\}\right| \lambda^{\gamma j} & \text { if } j=k \\ \frac{1}{3^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv r \gamma} \lambda^{s j+t k} & \text { if } j \neq k\end{cases}
$$

For example we determine $c_{1,2}^{1}$ (coef. of $x^{1.2}$ in $\left.e_{1} e_{2}\right), c_{2,2}^{1}\left(\right.$ coef. of $x^{1.2}$ in $\left.e_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1,2}^{1}=\frac{1}{3^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 1} \lambda^{s j+t k}=\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 1} \lambda^{s j+t k} ; \quad \text { as } \quad \frac{1}{3^{2}} \equiv 1 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{F} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pairs $(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 1:(0,1),(1,0),(2,2)$. Substituting in $(*)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1,2}^{1}=\lambda^{01+12}+\lambda^{11+02}+\lambda^{21+22}=\lambda^{2}+\lambda^{1}+\lambda^{6}=\lambda^{2}+\lambda^{1}+1=0 \\
(* *) \quad c_{2,2}^{1}=\frac{1}{3^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 1\right\}\right| \lambda^{1.2} \tag{**}
\end{gather*}
$$

$(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 1:(0,1),(1,0),(2,2)$. Substituting in (**), we have $c_{2,2}^{1}=\lambda^{2}=$ the coefficient of $x^{1.2}=x^{2}$ in $e_{2}{ }^{2}, \quad c_{2,2}^{0}=1=$ the coefficient of $i$ in $e_{2}{ }^{2}, \quad c_{2,2}^{2}=\lambda=$ the coefficient of $x^{2.2}=x^{4}$ in $e_{2}{ }^{2}$. Therefore $e_{2}{ }^{2}=i+\lambda^{2} x^{2}+\lambda x^{4}=e_{2}, \ldots$ etc.
$5.1(2)$ char $\mathbb{F}=3$ : Take $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$. It is clear that $-1=2$ is 2-th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}$. $\mathbb{F} C_{6}$ has 2 simple (1-dimensional) representations $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$. The two primitive idempotents are: $e_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i+x^{3}\right)\left[=2\left(i+1 x^{3}\right)\right], \quad e_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i-x^{3}\right)\left[=2\left(i+2 x^{3}\right)\right]\left(\right.$ Note $\frac{1}{2}=2^{-1}=2=-1$ in $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$.
(1) In $P_{0}=\mathbb{Z}_{3} C_{6} e_{0}=\mathbb{Z}_{3} e_{0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} x e_{0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} x^{2} e_{0}$. For $\gamma \in 0,1, x \alpha_{0}=\lambda^{\gamma} \alpha_{0} \Leftrightarrow 3 \gamma \equiv_{2}(2-0) \Leftrightarrow \gamma=0$. Hence $P_{0}$ is the projective cover of $S_{0}$; in fact $\alpha_{0}=e_{0}+x e_{0}+x^{2} e_{0} \in P_{0}$ generates $S_{0}$, hence

$$
P_{0}=\begin{gathered}
S_{0} \\
S_{0} \\
S_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

(2) In $P_{1}=\mathbb{Z}_{3} C_{6} e_{1}=\mathbb{Z}_{3} e_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} x e_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} x^{2} e_{1}$. For $\gamma \in 0,1, x \alpha_{1}=\lambda^{\gamma} \alpha_{1} \Leftrightarrow 3 \gamma \equiv_{2}(2-1) \Leftrightarrow \gamma=1$. Hence $P_{1}$ is the projective cover of $S_{1}$; in fact $\alpha_{1}=e_{1}-x e_{1}+x^{2} e_{1} \in P_{1}$ generates $S_{1}$, hence

$$
P_{1}=\begin{gathered}
S_{1} \\
S_{1} \\
S_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{1}=e_{1}+\lambda x e_{1}+\lambda^{2} x^{2} e_{1} \in P_{1}$ corresponds to the 3-tuple $(0,0+1(2-1), 0+2(2-1))$ $=(0,1,2)$.
[We mayalso take $\alpha_{1}=-e_{1}+x e_{1}-x^{2} e_{1} \in P_{1}$, this also gives $x \alpha_{1}=-\alpha_{1}=\lambda \alpha_{1}$ hence generates $S_{1}$ ]
5.2. Primitive idempotents in the group algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{10}$. We have $10=2 \times 5$, so we consider the two (non-semisimple) cases when char $\mathbb{F}=2 \vee 5$.
$5.2(1)$ char $\mathbb{F}=2$ : Take the extention field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /<x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1>$ of order 16 (note that $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$ is irreducible polynomial). It is clear that $\lambda$ is 5 -th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}$ satisfying $\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1=0 . \mathbb{F} C_{10}$ has 5 simple (1-dimensional) representations $S_{0}, S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$. The primitive idempotents are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
e_{0}=i+x^{2}+x^{4}+x^{6}+x^{8} & \\
e_{1}=i+\lambda x^{2}+\lambda^{2} x^{4}+\lambda^{3} x^{6}+\lambda^{4} x^{8} & , & x^{2} e_{1}=\lambda^{4} e_{1} \\
e_{2}=i+\lambda^{2} x^{2}+\lambda^{4} x^{4}+\lambda x^{6}+\lambda^{3} x^{8} & , & x^{2} e_{2}=\lambda^{3} e_{2} \\
e_{3}=i+\lambda^{3} x^{2}+x^{4}+\lambda^{4} x^{6}+\lambda^{2} x^{8} & , & x^{2} e_{3}=\lambda^{2} e_{3} \\
e_{4}=i+\lambda^{4} x^{2}+\lambda^{3} x^{4}+\lambda^{2} x^{6}+\lambda x^{8} & , & x^{2} e_{4}=\lambda e_{4}
\end{array}
$$

Such that $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 4} e_{j}=i$. Hence we have the following decomposition:
$\mathbb{F} C_{10}=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 4}^{\oplus} \mathbb{F} C_{10} e_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 4}^{\oplus} P_{j} ; P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{10} e_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq 1} \mathbb{F} x^{u} e_{j}=\mathbb{F} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{j}, \quad x^{2} e_{j}=\lambda^{5-j} e_{j}$
For $\gamma, j \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}: 2 \gamma \equiv_{5}(5-j) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{ll}j=0 \wedge \gamma=0 \\ j=1 \wedge \gamma=2 \\ j=2 \wedge \gamma=4 \\ j=3 \wedge \gamma=1 \\ j=4 \wedge \gamma=3\end{array}\right.$, hence $\quad \begin{array}{l}S_{0} \leq P_{0} \\ S_{2} \leq P_{1} \\ S_{4} \leq P_{2} \\ S_{1} \leq P_{3} \\ S_{3} \leq P_{4}\end{array}$
(1) $\alpha_{0}=e_{0}+x e_{0} \in P_{0}$ generates $S_{0}$ and $P_{0} \approx{ }_{S_{0}}^{S_{0}}$
(2) $\alpha_{1}=e_{1}+\lambda^{3} x e_{1} \in P_{1}$ generates $S_{2}$ and $P_{1} \approx \begin{gathered}S_{2} \\ S_{2}\end{gathered}$
(3) $\alpha_{2}=e_{2}+\lambda x e_{2} \in P_{2}$ generates $S_{4}$ and $P_{2} \approx \begin{gathered}S_{4} \\ S_{4}\end{gathered}$
(4) $\alpha_{3}=e_{3}+\lambda^{4} x e_{3} \in P_{3}$ generates $S_{1}$ and $P_{3} \approx{ }_{S_{1}}^{S_{1}}$
(5) $\alpha_{4}=e_{4}+\lambda^{2} x e_{4} \in P_{4}$ generates $S_{3}$ and $P_{4} \approx \begin{array}{r}S_{3} \\ S_{3}\end{array}$

Therefore the projective indecomposable $\mathbb{F} C_{10}$-module $P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{10} e_{j} ; 0 \leq j \leq 4$ (of dimension 2) has the following composition series $P_{j} \approx{ }_{S_{2 j}}^{S_{2 j}} ;(2 j$ is taken $\bmod 5)$.

The coefficient $c_{2,4}^{\gamma}$ of $x^{m} ; m=0,2,4,6,8$ in $e_{2} e_{4}$ is $1+\lambda+\lambda^{2}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{4}=0$; i.e. $e_{2} e_{4}=0$. We use the formulae in Theorem 3.1.1 to determine the coefficients $c_{1,3}^{2}$ (coef. of $x^{2.2}$ in $e_{1} e_{3}$ ), $c_{3,3}^{2}$ ( coef. of $x^{2.2}$ in $e_{3}{ }^{2}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1,3}^{2}=\frac{1}{5^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 4: s+t={ }_{5} 2} \lambda^{s .1+t .3}=\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 4: s+t=_{5} 2} \lambda^{s .1+t .3} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

$0 \leq s, t \leq 4: s+t \equiv_{5} 2:(0,2),(2,0),(1,1),(3,4),(4,3)$; substituting in $(*)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1,3}^{2}=\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 4: s+t \equiv_{5} 2} \lambda^{s .1+t .3}=\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{15}+\lambda^{13}=\lambda+\lambda^{2}+\lambda^{4}+1+\lambda^{3}=0 \\
& (* *) \quad c_{3,3}^{2}=\frac{1}{5^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 4: s+t \equiv_{5} 2\right\}\right| \lambda^{2.3}  \tag{**}\\
& \left|(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 4: s+t \equiv_{5} 2\right|=5 ;(0,2),(2,0),(1,1),(3,4),(4,3)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting in $(* *)$, we get $c_{3,3}^{2}$ ( coef. of $x^{2.2}=x^{4}$ in $\left.e_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{5} \lambda^{2.3}=\frac{1}{5} \lambda=\lambda=$ coef. of $x^{4}$ in $e_{3}$. Similarly we determine $c_{3,3}^{0}, c_{3,3}^{1}, c_{3,3}^{3}, c_{3,3}^{4}$ to see that $e_{3}{ }^{2}=e_{3}$.
5.2(2) char $\mathbb{F}=5:$ Take $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{5}$. A cyclic group $C_{10}=<x \mid x^{10}=i>$ has two simple (1dimensional) modules $S_{0}: x \mapsto 1$, and $S_{1}: x \mapsto 4 \equiv-1$ defined in terms of the 2-th roots of unity $4(\equiv-1), 1=4^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{5}$. Take the subgroup $H=<x^{5}>=\left\{i, x^{5}\right\}$ of order $2 . \mathbb{F} C_{10}$ has two primitive orthogonal idempotents

$$
e_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i+x^{5}\right)=3\left(i+x^{5}\right), \quad e_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i-x^{5}\right)=3\left(i-x^{5}\right)
$$

Hence, $\mathbb{F C}_{10} \mathbb{F} C_{10}=P_{1} \oplus P_{2} ; P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{10} e_{j}=\mathbb{F} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x^{2} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x^{3} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x^{4} e_{j} ; j=0,1, x^{5} e_{j}=$ $\lambda^{2-j} e_{j}$.
For $\gamma, j \in\{0,1\}: 5 \gamma \equiv_{2}(2-j) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma=0 \wedge j=0 \\ \gamma=1 \wedge j=1\end{array}\right.$, hence $\quad \begin{array}{l}S_{0} \leq P_{0} \\ S_{1} \leq P_{1}\end{array}$
(1) $\alpha_{0}=\sum_{0 \leq u \leq 4} x^{u} e_{0}=e_{0}+x e_{0}+x^{2} e_{0}+x^{3} e_{0}+x^{4} e_{0}$ generates $S_{0}$
(2) $\alpha_{1}=-e_{1}+x e_{1}-x^{2} e_{1}+x^{3} e_{1}-x^{4} e_{1} \in P_{1}=\mathbb{F} C_{10} e_{1}$ generates $S_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{j} \\
& S_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

In both cases, $P_{j}=S_{j} ; j=0,1$

$$
S_{j}
$$

$$
S_{j}
$$

5.3. Primitive idempotents in the group algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{12}$. We have $12=2^{2} \times 3$, so we consider the two (non-semisimple) cases when char $\mathbb{F}=2 \vee 3$.
$5.3(1)$ char $\mathbb{F}=3:$ Take the extention field $\left.\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x] /<x^{2}+1\right\rangle=\{0,1,2, \lambda, 2 \lambda, \lambda+1, \lambda+$ $2,2 \lambda+1,2 \lambda+2\}$, since $x^{2}+1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$. It is clear that $\lambda$ is 4 -th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}$ satisfying $\lambda^{2}+1=0$, hence $\lambda^{2}=-1=2 . \mathbb{F} C_{12}$ has 4 simple (1-dimensional) representations $S_{0}, S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$. Take $H=<x^{3}>=\left\{i, x^{3}, x^{6}, x^{9}\right\}$ subgroup of $C_{12}$. We have the following four primitive orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{F} C_{12}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{0}=i+x^{3}+x^{6}+x^{9}, \quad e_{1}=i+\lambda x^{3}+\lambda^{2} x^{6}+\lambda^{3} x^{9}, \quad e_{2}=i+\lambda^{2} x^{3}+x^{6}+\lambda^{2} x^{9} \\
e_{3}=i+\lambda^{3} x^{3}+\lambda^{2} x^{6}+\lambda x^{9}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence ${ }_{\mathbb{F} C_{12}} \mathbb{F} C_{12}=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 3}^{\oplus} P_{j} ; P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{12} e_{j}=\mathbb{F} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x^{2} e_{j}, x^{3} e_{j}=\lambda^{4-j} e_{j}$
For $\gamma, j \in\{0,1,2,3\}: 3 \gamma \equiv_{4}(4-j) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma=0 \wedge j=0 \\ \gamma=1 \wedge j=1 \\ \gamma=2 \wedge j=2 \\ \gamma=3 \wedge j=3\end{array}\right.$, hence $\begin{array}{l}S_{0} \leq P_{0} \\ S_{1} \leq P_{1} \\ S_{2} \leq P_{2} \\ S_{3} \leq P_{3}\end{array}$

$$
S_{0}
$$

(1) $\alpha_{0}=e_{0}+x e_{0}+x^{2} e_{0} \in P_{0}$ generates $S_{0}$ and $P_{0} \approx S_{0}$. $S_{0}$
$S_{1}$
(2) $\alpha_{1}=e_{1}+\lambda^{3} x e_{1}+\lambda^{2} x^{2} e_{1} \in P_{1}$ generates $S_{1}$ and $P_{1} \approx S_{1}$.

$$
S_{1}{ }^{S_{1}}
$$

(3) $\alpha_{2}=e_{2}+\lambda^{2} x e_{2}+x^{2} e_{2} \in P_{2}$ generates $S_{2}$ and $P_{2} \approx S_{2}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{2} \\
S_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

(4) $\alpha_{3}=e_{3}+\lambda x e_{3}+\lambda^{2} x^{2} e_{3} \in P_{3}$ generates $S_{3}$ and $P_{3} \approx S_{3}$. $S_{3}$

$$
S_{j}
$$

Hence $P_{j}$ is the projective cover of $S_{j}$ and has the following structure: $P_{j}: S_{j}$. $S_{j}$
$5.3(2)$ char $\mathbb{F}=2$ : Take the extention field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /<x^{2}+x+1>=0,1, \lambda, \lambda+1 . \mathbb{F} C_{12}$ has 3 simple (1-dimensional) representations $S_{0}, S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. The three primitive idempotents are:

$$
e_{0}=i+x^{4}+x^{8}, \quad e_{1}=i+\lambda x^{4}+\lambda^{2} x^{8}, \quad e_{2}=i+\lambda^{2} x^{4}+\lambda x^{8}\left(\text { Note: } \frac{1}{3} \equiv 1 \text { in } \mathbb{F}\right) .
$$

Hence ${ }_{\mathbb{F} C_{12}} \mathbb{F} C_{12}=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 2}^{\oplus} P_{j} ; P_{j}=\mathbb{F} C_{12} e_{j}=\mathbb{F} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x^{2} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{F} x^{3} e_{j}, x^{4} e_{j}=\lambda^{3-j} e_{j}$.
For $\gamma, j \in\{0,1,2\}: 4 \gamma \equiv_{3}(3-j) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma=0 \wedge j=0 \\ \gamma=2 \wedge j=1 \\ \gamma=1 \wedge j=2\end{array}\right.$, hence $\begin{array}{l}S_{0} \leq P_{0} \\ S_{2} \leq P_{1} \\ S_{1} \leq P_{2}\end{array}$
(1) $\alpha_{0}=e_{0}+x e_{0}+x^{2} e_{0}+x^{3} e_{0} \in P_{0}$ generates $S_{0}$ and $P_{0} \approx \begin{gathered}S_{0} \\ S_{0}\end{gathered}$

$$
S_{0}
$$

$S_{2}$
(2) $\alpha_{1}=e_{1}+\lambda x e_{1}+\lambda^{2} x^{2} e_{1}+x^{3} e_{1} \in P_{1}$ generates $S_{2}$ and $P_{1} \approx \begin{gathered}S_{2} \\ S_{2}\end{gathered}$ $S_{2}$ $S_{1}$
(3) $\alpha_{2}=e_{2}+\lambda^{2} x e_{2}+\lambda x^{2} e_{2}+x^{3} e_{2} \in P_{2}$ generates $S_{1}$ and $P_{2} \approx \begin{gathered}S_{1} \\ S_{1}\end{gathered}$ $S_{1}$
Consider the coefficient

$$
c_{j, k}^{\gamma}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{3^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} \gamma\right\}\right| \lambda^{\gamma j} & \text { if } j=k \\ \frac{1}{3^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3 \gamma}} \lambda^{s j+t k} & \text { if } j \neq k\end{cases}
$$

$-c_{1,2}^{0}=\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \exists_{3} 0} \lambda^{s .1+t 2}=\frac{1}{3^{2}} \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 0} \lambda^{s j+t k}=\lambda^{0.1+0.2}+\lambda^{2.1+1.2}+\lambda^{1.1+2.2}=1+\lambda+$ $\lambda^{2}=0$
$-c_{1,2}^{1}=\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 1} \lambda^{s .1+t 2}=\lambda^{0.1+1.2}+\lambda^{1.1+0.2}+\lambda^{2.1+2.2}=\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1=0$
$-c_{1,2}^{2}=\sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 2} \lambda^{s .1+t 2}=\lambda^{1.1+1.2}+\lambda^{2.1+0.2}+\lambda^{0.1+2.2}=1+\lambda^{2}+\lambda=0$
It follows that $e_{1} e_{2}=0$. On the other hand
$-c_{2,2}^{1}=\frac{1}{3^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 1\right\}\right| \lambda^{2}=3 \cdot \lambda^{2}=\lambda^{2}$
$-c_{2,2}^{0}=\frac{1}{3^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 0\right\}\right| \lambda^{0}=3=1$
$-c_{2,2}^{2}=\frac{1}{3^{2}}\left|\left\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s, t \leq 2: s+t \equiv_{3} 2\right\}\right| \lambda^{1}=3 \cdot \lambda=\lambda$
Hence $e_{2}{ }^{2}=e_{2}$.

## Conclusion

We have described a formula for a complete set of primitive central orthogonal idempotents of the cyclic group algebra $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$ where char $\mathbb{F}=p$ and $n=p^{a} r ; p \nmid r$, as well as the structure of the projective indecomposable $\mathbb{F} C_{n}$-modules corresponding to those primitive idempotents. We also determine the parameters of the cyclic code generated by each primitive idempotent and a subset of $C_{n}$ related to its zero-divisor type structure.
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