

SQUARE-MEAN PSEUDO ALMOST PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF CLASS r UNDER THE LIGHT OF MEASURE THEORY

MOHAMADO KIEMA AND ISSA ZABSONRE*

ABSTRACT. The aim of this work is to present new concept of square-mean pseudo almost periodic of class r using the measure theory. We use the (μ, ν) -ergodic process to define the spaces of (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic processes of class r in the square-mean sense. We present many interesting results on those spaces like completeness and composition theorems and we study the existence and the uniqueness of the square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic solutions of class r for the stochastic evolution equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we study some properties of the square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic process using the measure theory and we used those results to study the following stochastic evolution equations in a Hilbert space H ,

$$(1.1) \quad dx(t) = [Ax(t) + L(x_t) + f(t)]dt + g(t)dW(t),$$

where $A : D(A) \subset H$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on H , $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ are two stochastic processes and $W(t)$ is a two-sided and standard one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$ with $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{W(u) - W(v) \mid u, v \leq t\}$ and L is a bounded linear operator from C into $L^2(P, H)$. $C = C([-r, 0]; L^2(P, H))$ denotes the space of continuous functions from $[-r, 0]$ to $L^2(P, H)$ endowed with the uniform topology norm. For every $t \geq 0$, x_t denotes the history function of C defined by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ for $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$.

We assume $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ is a real separable Hilbert space and $L^2(P, H)$ is the space of all H -valued random variables x such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|x\|^2 dP < +\infty.$$

This work is an extension of [14] whose authors have studied equation (1.1) in the deterministic case. Some recent contributions concerning square-mean pseudo almost periodic solutions for abstract differential equations similar to equation (1.1) have been made. For example in [10] the authors studied equation (1.1) without the operator L . They showed that the equation has a unique square-mean μ -pseudo almost periodic mild solution on \mathbb{R} when f and g are square

UNIVERSITÉ JOSEPH KI-ZERBO, B.P.7021 OUAGADOUGOU 03, BURKINA FASO

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

E-mail addresses: hamadkiema@gmail.com, zabsonreissa@yahoo.fr.

Key words and phrases. measure theory; ergodicity; (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic function; evolution equations; partial functional differential equations; Stochastic processes; stochastic evolution equations.

Received 06/11/2021.

mean pseudo almost periodic functions.

In [5] the authors studied the square-mean almost periodic solutions to a class of nonautonomous stochastic differential equations without our operator L and without delay on a separable real Hilbert space. They established the existence and uniqueness of a square-mean almost periodic mild solution to those nonautonomous stochastic differential equations with the 'Acquistapace-Terreni' conditions.

In [9] The authors established the existence, uniqueness and stability of square-mean μ -pseudo almost periodic (resp. automorphic) mild solution to a linear and semilinear case of the stochastic evolution equations in case when the functions forcing are both continuous and $S^2 - \mu$ -pseudo almost periodic (resp. automorphic) and verify some suitable assumptions.

This work is organized as follow, in section 2, we give spectral decomposition of phase space in section 3 we study square-mean (μ, ν) -ergodic process of class r, in section 4 we study square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost process functions and properties and last section is devoted to an application.

2. SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION

To equation (1.1), associate the following initial value problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} du_t = [Au_t + L(u_t) + f(t)]dt + g(t)dW(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0 \\ u_0 = \varphi \in C = C([-r, 0], L^2(P, H)), \end{cases}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ are stochastic processes continuous.

Definition 2.1. We say that a continuous function u from $[-r, +\infty[$ into $L^2(P, H)$ is an integral solution of equation, if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\int_0^t u(s)ds \in D(A)$ for $t \geq 0$,
- (2) $u(t) = \phi(0) + A \int_0^t u(s)ds + \int_0^t (L(u_s) + f(s))ds + \int_0^t g(s)dW(s)$, for $t \geq 0$,
- (3) $u_0 = \phi$.

If $\overline{D(A)} = L^2(P, H)$, the integral solution coincide with the known mild solutions. One can see that if $u(t)$ is an integral of equation 2.1, then $u(t) \in \overline{D(A)}$ for all $t \geq 0$, in particular $\phi(0) \in \overline{D(A)}$

Let us introduce the part A_0 of the operator A in $\overline{D(A)}$ which defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A_0) = \{x \in D(A) : Ax \in \overline{D(A)}\} \\ A_0x = Ax \text{ for } x \in D(A_0) \end{cases}$$

The following assumption is supposed:

(H₀) A satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition.

Proposition 2.2. [2] A_0 generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(T_0(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $\overline{D(A)}$.

The phase space C_0 of equation (2.1) is defined by

$$C_0 = \{\varphi \in C : \varphi(0) \in \overline{D(A)}\}.$$

For each $t \geq 0$, the linear operator $\mathcal{U}(t)$ on C_0 is defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = v_t(\cdot, \varphi)$$

where $v(\cdot, \varphi)$ is the solution of the following homogeneous equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}v_t = Av_t + L(v_t) \text{ for } t \geq 0 \\ v_0 = \varphi \in C. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.3. [3] $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators on C_0 . Moreover, $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies, for $t \geq 0$ and $\theta \in [-r, 0]$, the following translation property

$$(\mathcal{U}(t)\varphi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{U}(t + \theta)\varphi)(0) \text{ for } t + \theta \geq 0 \\ \varphi(t + \theta) \text{ for } t + \theta \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4. [3] Let \mathcal{A}_U defined on C_0 by

$$\begin{cases} D(\mathcal{A}_U) = \{ \varphi \in C^1([-r, 0]; X); \varphi(0) \in \overline{D(A)} \text{ and } \varphi'(0) = A\varphi(0) + L(\varphi) \} \\ \mathcal{A}_U\varphi = \varphi' \text{ for } \varphi \in D(\mathcal{A}_U). \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{A}_U is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on C_0 .

Let $\langle X_0 \rangle$ be the space defined by

$$\langle X_0 \rangle = \{ X_0x : x \in X \}$$

where the function X_0x is defined by

$$(X_0x)(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta \in [-r, 0[, \\ x & \text{if } \theta = 0. \end{cases}$$

The space $C_0 \oplus \langle X_0 \rangle$ equipped with the norm $|\phi + X_0c|_C = |\phi|_C + |c|$ for $(\phi, c) \in C_0 \times X$ is a Banach space and consider the extension \mathcal{A}_U defined on $C_0 \oplus \langle X_0 \rangle$ by

$$\begin{cases} D(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U) = \{ \varphi \in C^1([-r, 0]; X) : \varphi \in D(A) \text{ and } \varphi' \in \overline{D(A)} \} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U\varphi = \varphi' + X_0(A\varphi + L(\varphi) - \varphi'). \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.5. [3] Assume that (H_0) holds. Then, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U$ satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition on $C_0 \oplus \langle X_0 \rangle$ there exist $\widetilde{M} \geq 0, \widetilde{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $]\widetilde{\omega}, +\infty[\subset \rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U)$ and

$$|(\lambda I - \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U)^{-n}| \leq \frac{\widetilde{M}}{(\lambda - \widetilde{\omega})^n} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lambda > \widetilde{\omega}$$

Moreover, the part of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U$ on $D(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U) = C_0$ is exactly the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_U$.

Definition 2.6. The semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is hyperbolic if

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_U) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$$

For the sequel, we make the following assumption:

(H₁) $T_0(t)$ is compact on $\overline{D(A)}$ for every $t > 0$.

Proposition 2.7. *Assume that **(H₀)** and **(H₁)**. then the semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is compact for $t > r$.*

Proposition 2.8. *Assume that **(H₁)** holds. If the semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is hyperbolic then the space C_0 is decomposed as a direct sum*

$$C_0 = S \oplus U$$

of two $\mathcal{U}(t)$ invariant closed subspaces S and U such that the restricted semigroup on \mathcal{U} is a group and there exist positive constant \overline{M} and ω such that

$$|\mathcal{U}(t)\varphi| \leq \overline{M}e^{-\omega t}|\varphi| \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \varphi \in S$$

$$|\mathcal{U}(t)\varphi| \leq \overline{M}e^{\omega t}|\varphi| \text{ for } t \leq 0 \text{ and } \varphi \in U,$$

Where S and U are called respectively the stable and unstable space, Π^s and Π^u denote respectively the projection operator on S and U .

3. SQUARE-MEAN (μ, ν) -ERGODIC PROCESS OF CLASS R

Let \mathcal{N} the Lebesgue σ -field of \mathbb{R} and by \mathcal{M} the set of all positive measures μ on \mathcal{N} satisfying $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$ and $\mu([a, b]) < \infty$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ($a \leq b$). $L^2(P, H)$ is a Hilbert space with following norm

$$\|x\|_{L^2} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \|x\|^2 dP \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Definition 3.1. Let $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a stochastic process.

(1) x said to be stochastically bounded if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|x(t)\|^2 \leq C \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(2) x is said to be stochastically continuous if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \mathbb{E}\|x(t) - x(s)\|^2 = 0 \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Denote by $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$, the space of all stochastically bounded and continuous process. Otherwise, this space endowed the following norm

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{E}\|x(t)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a Banach space.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. A stochastic process f is said to be square-mean (μ, ν) -ergodic if $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and satisfied

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}\|f(t)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$, the space of all such process.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. A stochastic process f is said to be square-mean (μ, ν) -ergodic of class r if $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and satisfied

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$, the space of all such process.

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by μ_a the positive measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N})$ defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_a(A) = \mu(a + b : b \in A) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{N}.$$

From $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$, we formulate the following hypothesis

(H₂): For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\beta > 0$ and a bounded intervall I such that $\mu_a(A) \leq \beta\mu(A)$ when $A \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies $A \cap I = \emptyset$.

(H₃) For all a, b and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $0 \leq a < b \leq c$, there exist δ_0 and $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\delta| \geq \delta_0 \implies \mu(a + \delta, b + \delta) \geq \alpha_0 \mu(\delta, c + \delta).$$

(H₄) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} = \alpha < \infty$.

Proposition 3.4. Assume that **(H₄)** holds. Then $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Proof. We can see that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is a vector subspace of $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$. To complete the proof, it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is closed in $SBC(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$. Let $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n = f$ uniformly in $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$. From $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$, it follows $\nu([- \tau, \tau]) > 0$ for τ sufficiently large. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $\|f_n - f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Let $n \geq n_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\leq \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_n(\theta) - f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_n(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \|f_n(t) - f(t)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_n(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq 2 \|f_n - f\|_\infty^2 \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_n(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq 2\alpha\varepsilon \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

□

The following theorem is a characterization of square-mean (μ, ν) -ergodic processes (eventually $I = \emptyset$).

Theorem 3.5. Assume that (H_4) holds and let $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$
- ii) $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$
- iii) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu \left\{ t \in [- \tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon \right\}}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} = 0$

Proof. The proof is made like the proof of Theorem(2.13) in [6].

First, we show that *i*) is equivalent to *ii*).

Denote by $A = \nu(I)$, $B = \int_I \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t)$. A and B belong to \mathbb{R} , since the interval I is bounded and the process f is stochastically bounded and continuous. For $\tau > 0$ such that $I \subset [- \tau, \tau]$ and $\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I) > 0$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau]) - A} \left[\int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) - B \right] \\ & = \frac{\nu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau]) - A} \left[\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) - \frac{B}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From above equalities and the fact that $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$, *ii*) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = 0,$$

that is *i*).

Now, we show that *iii*) implies *ii*).

Denote by A_τ^ε and B_τ^ε the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_\tau^\varepsilon &= \left\{ t \in [- \tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon \right\} \\ B_\tau^\varepsilon &= \left\{ t \in [- \tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that *iii*) holds, that is

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} = 0.$$

From the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &= \int_{A_\tau^\varepsilon} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ &+ \int_{B_\tau^\varepsilon} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t), \end{aligned}$$

then for τ sufficiently large

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[- \tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq \|f\|_\infty \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} + \varepsilon \frac{\mu(B_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)}.$$

By using (\mathbf{H}_4) , it follows that

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{+\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq \alpha \varepsilon, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0,$$

consequently *ii*) holds.

Thus, we shall show that *ii*) implies *iii*).

Assume that *ii*) holds. From the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[-\tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\geq \int_{A_\tau^\varepsilon} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \\ \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[-\tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\geq \varepsilon \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon \nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} \int_{[-\tau, \tau] \setminus I} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) &\geq \frac{\mu(A_\tau^\varepsilon)}{\nu([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)}, \end{aligned}$$

for τ sufficiently large, equation (3.2) is obtained, that is *iii*). □

Definition 3.6. Let $f \in SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by f_τ the function defined by $f_\tau(t) = f(t + \tau)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. A subset \mathfrak{F} of $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ is said to translation invariant if for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ we have $f_\tau \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.7. Let μ_1 and $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. μ_1 is said to be equivalent to μ_2 ($\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$) if there exist constants α and $\beta > 0$ and a bounded interval I (eventually $I = \emptyset$) such that $\alpha \mu_1(A) \leq \mu_2(A) \leq \beta \mu_1(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfying $A \cap I = \emptyset$.

Remark 3.8. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 3.9. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ and $\nu_1 \sim \nu_2$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_1, \nu_1, r) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_2, \nu_2, r)$.

Proof. Since $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ and $\nu_1 \sim \nu_2$ there exist some constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ and a bounded interval I (eventually $I = \emptyset$) such that $\alpha_1 \mu_1(A) \leq \mu_2(A) \leq \beta_1 \mu_1(A)$ and $\alpha_2 \nu_1(A) \leq \nu_2(A) \leq \beta_2 \nu_1(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies $A \cap I = \emptyset$ i.e

$$\frac{1}{\beta_2 \nu_1(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\nu_2(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_2 \nu_1(A)}.$$

Since $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ and \mathcal{N} is the Lebesgue σ -field, then for τ sufficiently large, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_1 \mu_1 \left(\left\{ t \in [-\tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon \right\} \right)}{\beta_2 \nu_1([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} &\leq \\ \frac{\mu_2 \left(\left\{ t \in [-\tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon \right\} \right)}{\nu_2([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} &\leq \\ \frac{\beta_1 \mu_1 \left(\left\{ t \in [-\tau, \tau] \setminus I : \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 > \varepsilon \right\} \right)}{\alpha_2 \nu_1([- \tau, \tau] \setminus I)} & \end{aligned}$$

Consequently by Theorem 3.5, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu_1, \nu_1, r) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu_2, \nu_2, r)$. □

Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ denote by

$$cl(\mu, \nu) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2 : \mu \sim \omega_1 \text{ and } \nu \sim \omega_2\}.$$

Proposition 3.10. [4] *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. Then μ satisfies (\mathbf{H}_2) if and only if the measures μ and μ_τ are equivalent for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proposition 3.11. [6] (\mathbf{H}_3) *implies for all σ , $\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu([- \tau - \sigma, \tau + \sigma])}{\mu([- \tau, \tau])} < +\infty$.*

Theorem 3.12. *Assume that (\mathbf{H}_2) holds. Then $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is translation invariant.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is inspired by Theorem (3.5) in [4]. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$, there exists $a_0 > 0$ such that $\nu([- \tau - |a|, \tau + |a|]) > 0$ for $|a| \geq a_0$. Denote by

$$M_a(\tau) = \frac{1}{\nu_a([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu_a(t) \quad \forall \tau > 0 \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R},$$

where ν_a is the positive measure defined by equation (3.1). By using Proposition (3.10), it follows that ν and ν_a are equivalent, μ and μ_a are equivalent by using Theorem (3.9) we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_a, \nu_a, r) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ therefore $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_a, \nu_a, r)$ that is $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} M_a(\tau) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

For all $A \in \mathcal{N}$, we denote by \mathcal{X}_A the characteristic function of A . By using definition of the measure μ_a , we obtain that

$$\int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \mathcal{X}_A(t) d\mu_a(t) = \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \mathcal{X}_A(t) d\mu(t+a) = \int_{[- \tau+a, \tau+a]} d\mu(t) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Since $t \mapsto \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2$ is the pointwise limit of an increasing sequence of linear combinations of functions, see([[13]; Theorem 1.17 p.15]), we deduce that

$$\int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu_a(t) = \int_{[- \tau+a, \tau+a]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-a-r, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t).$$

If we denote by $a^+ := \max(a, 0)$ and $a^- = \max(-a, 0)$ we have $|a| + a = 2a^+$, $|a| - a = 2a^-$, and

$[- \tau + a - |a|, \tau + a + |a|] = [- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+]$. Therefore we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad M_a(\tau + |a|) = \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+])} \int_{[- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-a-r, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t).$$

From equation (3.3) and the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-a-r, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) &\leq \\ \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-a-r, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) & \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-a-r, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \frac{\nu([- \tau - 2a^-, \tau + 2a^+])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times M_a(\tau + |a|).$$

This implies ,

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-a-r, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \frac{\nu([- \tau - 2|a|, \tau + 2|a|])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times M_a(\tau + |a|).$$

From equation (3.3) and equation (3.4) and using Proposition (3.11) we deduce that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-a-r, t-a]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$$

which equivalent to

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f(\theta - a)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0,$$

that is $f_{-a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. We have proved that $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ then $f_{-a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. That is $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is translation invariant.

Proposition 3.13. *The space $SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is translation invariant, that is for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$, $f_\alpha \in SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.*

4. SQUARE-MEAN (μ, ν) -PSEUDO ALMOST PERIODIC PROCESS

In this section, we define square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic process and we study their basic properties.

Definition 4.1. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a continuous stochastic process. f is said be square-mean almost periodic process if for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\tau \in [\alpha, \alpha + l]$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\|^2 < \varepsilon$$

We denote the space of all such stochastic processes by $SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$.

Theorem 4.2. [10] *The space $SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ endowed the norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ is a Banach space.*

Definition 4.3. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a continuous stochastic process. f is said be (μ, ν) - square-mean pseudo almost periodic process if it can be decomposed as follows

$$f = g + \phi$$

where $g \in SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$.

We denote the space of such stochastic processes by $SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu)$.

Proposition 4.4. [7] *Assume that (H_3) holds. Then the decomposition of (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic function in the form $f = g + \phi$ where $g \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu, \nu)$ is unique.*

Proposition 4.5. [14] *Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume (H_3) holds. Then the decomposition of a (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic function $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, where $\phi_1 \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu, \nu)$ is unique.*

Remark 4.6. Let $X = L^2(P, H)$. Then the Proposition (4.4) always holds.

Definition 4.7. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ be a continuous stochastic process. f is said be (μ, ν) - square-mean pseudo almost periodic process of class r if it can be decomposed as follows

$$f = g + \phi$$

where $g \in SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

We denote the space of such stochastic processes by $SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

Proposition 4.8. $SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. This proposition is a consequence of Theorem(4.2) and Proposition(3.4). □

Proposition 4.9. [14] Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and assume (H_3) holds. Then the decomposition of (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic function $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, where $\phi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ is unique.

Proposition 4.10. Let μ_1, μ_2, ν_1 and $\nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ if $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ and $\nu_1 \sim \nu_2$, then $SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_1, \nu_1, r) = SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu_2, \nu_2, r)$.

This Proposition is a consequence of Theorem(3.9).

Theorem 4.11. Assume that (H_3) holds. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\phi \in SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ then the function $t \rightarrow \phi_t$, belongs to $SPAP(C([-r, 0], L^2(P, H)), \mu, \nu, r)$.

Proof. Assume that $\phi = g + h$, where $g \in SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. Then we can see that, $\phi_t = g_t + h_t$ and g_t is square mean almost periodic process. Let us denote by

$$M_\alpha(\tau) = \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 \right) d\mu_\alpha(t).$$

Where μ_α and ν_α are the positive measures defined by equation (3.1). By using Proposition (3.10), it follows that μ_α and μ are equivalent and ν_α and ν are also equivalent. Then by using Theorem (4.10) we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, r) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ therefore $h \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, r)$ that is $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} M_\alpha(\tau) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, for $r > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\sup_{\eta \in [-r, 0]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta + \eta)\|^2) \right) \right) d\mu(t) \leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-2r, t]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \left[\sup_{\theta \in [t-2r, t-r]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) + \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) \right] d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [- \tau-r, \tau+r]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) d\mu(t+r) + \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2) d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \frac{\nu([- \tau-r, \tau+r])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau-r, \tau+r])} \int_{- \tau-r}^{\tau+r} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t+r) \\ & + \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\sup_{\eta \in [-r, 0]} (\mathbb{E} \|h(\theta + \eta)\|^2) \right) d\mu(t) & \leq \frac{\nu([- \tau-r, \tau+r])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \times M_r(\tau+r) \\ & + \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|h(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition(3.11), and Proposition(3.10), it follows that, $\phi_t \in SPAP(C[-r, 0], L^2(P, H)), \mu, \nu, r$). Thus, we obtain the desired result \square

Next, we study the composition of the space square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic process.

Definition 4.12. [10] Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H) \rightarrow L^2(P, H), (t, x) \mapsto f(t, x)$ be continuous. f is said be square-mean almost periodic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly in $x \in L^2(P, H)$ if for all compact K of $L^2(P, H)$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $l(\varepsilon, K)$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\tau \in [\alpha, \alpha + l(\varepsilon, K)]$ with

$$x \in K, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \|f(t + \tau, x) - f(t, x)\|^2 < \varepsilon.$$

We denote the following space of stochastic processes by $SAP(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H))$.

Theorem 4.13. [10] Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H) \rightarrow L^2(P, H), (t, x) \mapsto f(t, x)$ be a square almost periodic process in t uniformly in $x \in L^2(P, H)$. Suppose that f is Lipschitz in the following sense: there exists a positive number L such that for any $x, y \in L^2(P, H)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\|^2 \leq L \cdot \mathbb{E} \|x - y\|^2.$$

Then $t \mapsto f(t, x(t)) \in SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ for any $x \in SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$.

Definition 4.14. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$. A continuous functions $f(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ is said to be square-mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic of class r in t for any $x \in L^2(P, H)$ if it can be decomposed as $f = g + \phi$, where $g \in SAP(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H)), \phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. Denote the set of all such stochastically continuous processes by $SPAP(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

Proposition 4.15. Let $a_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right|^2 \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2$.

Theorem 4.16. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy (H_2) . Suppose that $f \in SPAP(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ and that there exists a positive number L such that, for any $x, y \in L^2(P, H)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\|^2 \leq L \cdot \mathbb{E} \|x - y\|^2$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $t \mapsto f(t, x(t)) \in SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ for any $x \in SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

Proof. Since $x \in SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$, then we can decompose $x = x_1 + x_2$, where $x_1 \in SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ and $x_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

Otherwise, since $f \in SPAP(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$, then $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in SAP(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H))$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

The function f can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x(t)) &= f_1(t, x_1(t)) + [f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x_1(t))] + [f(t, x_1(t)) - f_1(t, x_1(t))] \\ &= f_1(t, x_1(t)) + [f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x_1(t))] + f_2(t, x_1(t)) \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem (4.13), we have $(t \rightarrow f_1(t, x_1(t)) \in SAP(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H))$.

It remains to show that the both functions $t \rightarrow [f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x_1(t))]$ and $t \rightarrow f_2(t, x_1(t))$

belong to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times L^2(P, H), L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x_1(t))\|^2 &\leq L \cdot \mathbb{E}\|x(t) - x_1(t)\|^2 \\ \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta, x(\theta)) - f(\theta, x_1(\theta))\|^2 &\leq L \cdot \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E}\|x(\theta) - x_1(\theta)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E}\|f(\theta, x(\theta)) - f(\theta, x_1(\theta))\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \\ &\frac{L}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E}\|x(\theta) - x_1(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq \\ &\frac{L}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E}\|x_2(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ then $t \rightarrow f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x_1(t))$ is (μ, ν) -ergodic. Now to complete the proof, it is enough to prove $t \rightarrow f_2(t, x_1(t))$ is (μ, ν) -ergodic. Since f_2 is uniformly continuous on the compact set $K = \{x_1(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with respect to the second variable x , we deduce that for given ε , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, ζ_1 and $\zeta_2 \in K$, one has

$$\|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2\| \leq \delta \implies \|f_2(t, \zeta_1) - f_2(t, \zeta_2)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, there exist $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \subset K$, such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} B(x_i, \delta),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(t, x_1(t))\| &\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \|f_2(t, x_i)\| \\ \|f_2(t, x_1(t))\|^2 &\leq \left(\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \|f_2(t, x_i)\| \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\varepsilon^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \|f_2(t, x_i)\| \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

By using the Proposition (4.15), we have

$$\|f_2(t, x_1(t))\|^2 \leq 2 \left(\varepsilon + n(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \|f_2(t, x_i)\|^2 \right).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_2(\theta, x_1(\theta))\|^2 d\mu(t) \leq 2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon \mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} + n(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{[- \tau, \tau]} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_2(\theta, x_i)\|^2 d\mu(t) \right).$$

By the fact that

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n(\varepsilon)\}, \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_2(\theta, x_i)\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) = 0$$

we deduce that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{- \tau}^{+ \tau} \left(\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|f_2(\theta, x_1(\theta))\|^2 \right) d\mu(t) \leq 2\alpha\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $t \rightarrow f_2(t, x_1(t))$ is ergodic and the theorem is proved. □

(H₅): g is a stochastically bounded process.

Theorem 4.17. *Assume that (H₀), (H₁), (H₄) and (H₅) hold and the semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is hyperbolic. If f is bounded and continuous on \mathbb{R} , then there exists a unique bounded solution u of equation (1.1) on \mathbb{R} given by*

$$u_t = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$$

$\forall t \geq 0$, where $\tilde{B}_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda I - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda)^{-1}$, Π^s and Π^u are the projections of C_0 onto the stable and unstable subspaces.

Proof. Let

$$u_t = v(t) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \forall t \geq 0,$$

where

$$v(t) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds$$

Let us first prove that u_t exists. The existence of $v(t)$ have proved by [1]. Now, we show that

the limit $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \tilde{B}_\lambda (X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$ exist.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t \bar{M}^2 e^{-2w(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|\tilde{B}_\lambda(X_0 g(s))\|^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq \bar{M}^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2w(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|\tilde{B}_\lambda(X_0 g(s))\|^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2w(t-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} e^{-2w(t-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \tilde{B}_\lambda(X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2 &\leq \\ \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} e^{-4w(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \\ \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-4w(n-1)} - e^{-4wn})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \\ \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} (e^{4wn} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. & \end{aligned}$$

Since the serie $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn}$ is convergent, then it exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} \leq c, \text{ moreover it follows that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2 &\leq \\ \bar{M} \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} (e^{4w} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} & \\ \leq \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2wn} & \\ \leq \gamma c, & \end{aligned}$$

where, $\gamma = \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} (e^{4w} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|$.

Let $F(n, s, t) = \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s (\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for $s \leq t$.

For n is sufficiently large and $\sigma \leq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 &\leq \\ \bar{M}^2 \tilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} e^{-4w(t-s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\omega}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-4\omega(t-\sigma+n-1)} - e^{-4\omega(t-\sigma+n)}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\ & \overline{M}^2 \widetilde{M}^2 |\Pi^s|^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\omega}} e^{-2\omega(t-\sigma)} (e^{4\omega} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\omega n} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\sigma-n}^{\sigma-n+1} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \gamma c e^{-2\omega(t-\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for n and m sufficiently large and $\sigma \leq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{-\infty}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \leq \\ & \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(n, s, t) dW(s) + \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\sigma}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \leq \\ & 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \\ & + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{\sigma}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \leq \\ & 6\gamma c e^{-2\omega(t-\sigma)} + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{\sigma}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\sigma}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2$ exists, then

$$\limsup_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{-\infty}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 \leq 6\gamma c e^{-2\omega(t-\sigma)}$$

If $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$, then

$$\limsup_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) - \int_{-\infty}^t F(m, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 = 0.$$

We deduce that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t F(n, s, t) dW(s) \right\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2$$

exists. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$ exists. In addition, one can show that the function

$$t \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) ds \right\|^2$$

is bounded on \mathbb{R} . Similary, we can show that the function

$$t \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_n X_0 g(s)) dW(s)$$

is well defined and bounded on \mathbb{R} . □

Proposition 4.18. [14] *A function $\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is almost periodic if and only if the space of functions $\{\phi_{\tau} : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $\phi_{\tau}(t) = \phi(t + \tau)$, is relatively compact in $BC(\mathbb{R}; X)$*

Remark 4.19. As $L^2(P, H)$ is a space Banach then the Proposition(4.18) holds.

Theorem 4.20. Assume that (H_5) . Let $f, g \in SAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$ and Γ be the mapping defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f, g)(t) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))dW(s) \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))dW(s) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Gamma(f, g) \in SAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$.

Proof. $\Gamma(f, g)_\tau(t) = \Gamma(f, g)(t + \tau)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} \mathcal{U}^s(t+\tau-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^{t+\tau} \mathcal{U}^u(t+\tau-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} \mathcal{U}^s(t+\tau-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^{t+\tau} \mathcal{U}^u(t+\tau-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))dW(s) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s+\tau))ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s+\tau))ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s+\tau))dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s+\tau))dW(s) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f_\tau(s))ds + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f_\tau(s))ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g_\tau(s))dW(s) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g_\tau(s))dW(s) \\ &= \Gamma(f_\tau, g_\tau)(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Gamma(f, g)_\tau = \Gamma(f_\tau, g_\tau)$ which implies $\{\Gamma(f, g)_\delta, \delta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is relatively compact in $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$. Since Γ is continuous from $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ into $SBC(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$ then $\Gamma(f, g) \in SAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H))$. \square

Theorem 4.21. Assume that (H_3) and (H_5) holds. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ then $\Gamma(f, g) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$.

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f, g)(t) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s))ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s)\Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))dW(s) \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s)\Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s))dW(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \left\| \Gamma(f, g)(\theta) \right\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^{\theta} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 f(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \\
 &\quad \left. + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^{\theta} \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u(\tilde{B}_\lambda X_0 g(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2. \\
 \\
 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \|\Gamma(f, g)(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) &\leq \\
 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} 4\mathbb{E} \left(\tilde{M}^2 \bar{M}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|f(s)\|^2 ds \right. \\
 + \tilde{M}^2 \bar{M}^2 \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \tilde{M}^2 \bar{M}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \|g(s)\|^2 ds \\
 + \tilde{M}^2 \bar{M}^2 \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \|g(s)\|^2 ds \Big) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq 4\tilde{M}^2 \bar{M}^2 \left[\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 ds \right) d\mu(t) \right. \\
 + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
 + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^s|^2 \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2 ds + \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} |\Pi^u|^2 \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right) d\mu(t) \Big] \\
 &\leq 4\tilde{M}^2 \bar{M}^2 \left[|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \right. \\
 + |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \Big]
 \end{aligned}$$

one the one hand using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left[\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right] d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq e^{\omega r} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq e^{\omega r} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq e^{\omega r} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E} \|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq e^{\omega r} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} (\mathbb{E} \|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(t-s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq e^{\omega r} |\Pi^s|^2 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\omega s} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E} \|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

By using Proposition(3.13) we deduce that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{-2\omega s}}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E}\|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$\frac{e^{-2\omega s}}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E}\|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \leq \frac{e^{-2\omega s} \mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} (\|f\|_{\infty}^2 + \|g\|_{\infty}^2)$$

Since f and g are bounded functions, then the function $s \mapsto \frac{e^{-2\omega s} \mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} (\|f\|_{\infty}^2 + \|g\|_{\infty}^2)$ belongs to $L^1([0, +\infty[)$ in view of the Lebesgue dominated convergence Theorem, it follows that

$$e^{\omega r} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-2\omega s}}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\mathbb{E}\|f(t-s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(t-s)\|^2) d\mu(t) ds \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand by Fubini's theorem, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi^u|^2 & \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \left(\int_{t-r}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\int_{t-r}^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\int_{-\infty}^r e^{2\omega s} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \leq |\Pi^u|^2 \int_{-\infty}^r \left(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{2\omega s} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $s \mapsto \frac{e^{2\omega s}}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} (\|f\|_{\infty}^2 + \|g\|_{\infty}^2)$ belongs to $L^1(] - \infty, r])$ reasoning like above, it follows that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^r e^{\omega s} \times \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \left(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{2\omega s} (\mathbb{E}\|f(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|g(s)\|^2) d\mu(t) \right) ds = 0$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E}\|\Gamma(f, g)(\theta)\|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$$

Thus, we obtain the desired result. □

Theorem 4.22. Assume (H_0) , (H_1) , (H_3) and (H_5) hold. Then equation (1.1) has a unique square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic solution of class r .

Proof. Since f and g are square mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic function, f, g has a decomposition $f = f_1 + f_2$ and $g = g_1 + g_2$ where $f_1, g_1 \in SAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H))$ and $f_2, g_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. Using Theorem(4.20), Theorem(4.2) and Theorem(4.17), we get the desired result. □

Our next objective is to show the existence of square mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic solutions of class r for the following problem

$$(4.2) \quad du(t) = [Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t, u_t)]dt + g(t, u_t)dW(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ are two stochastic continuous processes. For the sequel, we formulate the following assumptions

- (**H₆**) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \times C([-r, 0], L^2(P, H)) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic of class r such that there exists a constant L_f such that $\mathbb{E} \left\| f(t, \phi_1) - f(t, \phi_2) \right\|^2 \leq L_f \times \mathbb{E} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C([-r, 0], L^2(P, H))$.
- (**H₇**) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \times C([-r, 0], L^2(P, H)) \rightarrow L^2(P, H)$ square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic of class r such that there exists a constant L_g such that $\mathbb{E} \left\| g(t, \phi_1) - g(t, \phi_2) \right\|^2 \leq L_g \times \mathbb{E} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C([-r, 0], L^2(P, H))$.

Theorem 4.23. Assume (**H₀**), (**H₁**), (**H₂**), (**H₄**), (**H₆**) and (**H₇**) hold. If

$$\widetilde{M}^2 \overline{M}^2 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|\Pi^s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (L_f^2 + L_g^2) ds + |\Pi^u|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{2\omega(t-s)} (L_f^2 + L_g^2) ds \right) < \frac{1}{4},$$

then equation (4.2) has a unique square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic solution of class r .

Proof. Let x be a function in $SPAP(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ from Theorem(4.11) the function $t \rightarrow x_t$ belongs to $SPAP(C([-r, 0]; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. Hence Theorem(4.16) implies that the function $g(\cdot) := f(\cdot, x)$ is in $SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. Consider the following mapping: $\mathcal{H} : SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r) \rightarrow SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$ defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}x)(t) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0 f(s, x_s)) ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0 f(s, x_s)) ds \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0 g(s, x_s)) dW(s) \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{+\infty}^t \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0 g(s, x_s)) dW(s) \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem(4.20), Theorem(4.22) and Theorem(4.17), it suffices now to show that the operator \mathcal{H} has a unique fixed point in $SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t)\|^2 &\leq 4\mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \left\| \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0(f(s, x_{1s}) - f(s, x_{2s}))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\ &+ 4\mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0(f(s, x_{2s}) - f(s, x_{1s}))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\ &+ 4\mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t \left\| \mathcal{U}^s(t-s) \Pi^s \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0(g(s, x_{1s}) - g(s, x_{2s}))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \\ &+ 4\mathbb{E} \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \mathcal{U}^u(t-s) \Pi^u \widetilde{B}_\lambda(X_0(g(s, x_{2s}) - g(s, x_{1s}))) ds \right\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 4\widetilde{M}^2\overline{M}^2\mathbb{E}\left(|\Pi^s|^2\int_{-\infty}^te^{-2\omega(t-s)}(L_f^2+L_g^2)\|x_{1s}-x_{2s}\|^2ds\right. \\ &\quad \left.+|\Pi^u|^2\int_t^{+\infty}e^{2\omega(t-s)}(L_f^2+L_g^2)\|x_{1s}-x_{2s}\|^2ds\right) \\ &\leq 4\widetilde{M}^2\overline{M}^2\mathbb{E}(\|x_1-x_2\|^2)\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left(|\Pi^s|^2\int_{-\infty}^te^{-2\omega(t-s)}(L_f^2+L_g^2)ds\right. \\ &\quad \left.+|\Pi^u|^2\int_t^{+\infty}e^{2\omega(t-s)}(L_f^2+L_g^2)ds\right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that \mathcal{H} is a strict contraction. Thus by Banach's fixed point theorem, \mathcal{H} has a unique fixed point u in $SPAP(\mathbb{R}; L^2(P, H), \mu, \nu, r)$. We conclude that equation (4.2), has one and only one square mean $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic solution of class r . \square

Proposition 4.24. *Assume (H_0) , (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_4) and f, g are Lipschitz continuous with respect to the second argument. If*

$$Lip(f) = Lip(g) < \left(\frac{\omega}{4\widetilde{M}^2\overline{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

then equation (5.1) has a unique $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic solution of class r , where $Lip(f)$, $Lip(g)$ are respectively the Lipschitz constant of f and g .

Proof. Let us pose $k = Lip(f) = Lip(g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{H}x_1(t) - \mathcal{H}x_2(t)\|^2 &\leq 4\widetilde{M}^2\overline{M}^2\mathbb{E}(\|x_1 - x_2\|^2)\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left(|\Pi^s|^2\int_{-\infty}^te^{-2\omega(t-s)}(L_f^2+L_g^2)ds\right. \\ &\quad \left.+|\Pi^u|^2\int_t^{+\infty}e^{2\omega(t-s)}(L_f^2+L_g^2)ds\right) \\ &\leq 4\widetilde{M}^2\overline{M}^2\mathbb{E}(\|x_1 - x_2\|^2)\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left(|\Pi^s|^2\int_{-\infty}^t2k^2e^{-2\omega(t-s)}ds + |\Pi^u|^2\int_t^{+\infty}2k^2e^{2\omega(t-s)}ds\right) \\ &\leq \frac{4k^2\widetilde{M}^2\overline{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2)}{\omega}\mathbb{E}(\|x_1 - x_2\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently \mathcal{H} is a strict contraction if $k^2 < \frac{\omega}{4\widetilde{M}^2\overline{M}^2(|\Pi^s|^2 + |\Pi^u|^2)}$. \square

5. APPLICATION

For illustration, we propose to study the existence of solutions for the following model

$$(5.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} dz(t, x) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}z(t, x)dt + \left[\int_{-r}^0 G(\theta)z(t + \theta, x)d\theta + \sin(t) + \sin(\sqrt{2}t) + \arctan(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-r}^0 h(\theta, z(t + \theta, x))d\theta \right] dt + \left[\frac{\cos(t)}{2 + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)} + \arctan(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-r}^0 h(\theta, z(t + \theta, x))d\theta \right] dW(t) \\ z(t, 0) &= z(t, \pi) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \right.$$

Where $G : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $h : [-r, 0] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, Lipschitzian with respect to the second argument. $W(t)$ is a two-sided and standard one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$ with

$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{W(u) - W(v) \mid u, v \leq t\}$. To rewrite equation (5.1) in the abstract form, we introduce the space $H = L^2((0, \pi))$. Let $A : D(A) \rightarrow L^2((0, \pi))$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \mathbf{H}^1((0, \pi)) \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1((0, 1)) \\ Ay(t) = y''(t) \text{ for } t \in (0, \pi) \text{ and } y \in D(A) \end{cases}$$

Then A generates a C_0 -semigroup $(\mathcal{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2((0, \pi))$ given by

$$(\mathcal{U}(t)x)(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2\pi^2t} \langle x, e_n \rangle_{L^2} e_n(r)$$

Where $e_n(r) = \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi r)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\|\mathcal{U}(t)\| \leq e^{-\pi^2t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus $\overline{M} = 1$ and $\omega = \pi^2$. Then A satisfied the Hille-Yosida condition in $L^2((0, \pi))$. Moreover the part A_0 of A in $\overline{D(A)}$. It follows that (\mathbf{H}_0) and (\mathbf{H}_1) are satisfied.

We define $f : \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow L^2((0, \pi))$ and $L : C \rightarrow L^2((0, \pi))$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \phi)(x) &= (\sin(t) + \sin(\sqrt{2}t)) + \arctan(t) + \int_{-r}^{\theta} h(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x))d\theta \\ g(t, \phi)(x) &= \frac{\cos(t)}{2 + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)} + \arctan(t) + \int_{-r}^{\theta} h(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x))d\theta \\ L(\phi)(x) &= \int_{-r}^{\theta} G(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x))d\theta \text{ for } -r \leq \theta \leq 0 \text{ and } x \in (0, \pi) \end{aligned}$$

let us pose $v(t) = z(t, x)$. Then equation(5.1) takes the following abstract form

$$dv(t) = [Av(t) + L(v_t) + f(t, v_t)]dt + g(t, v_t)dW(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Consider the measures μ and ν where its Radon-Nikodym derivative are respectively $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } t > 0 \\ e^t \text{ for } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\rho_2(t) = |t| \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

i.e $d\mu(t) = \rho_1(t)dt$ and $d\nu(t) = \rho_2(t)dt$ where dt denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and

$$\mu(A) = \int_A \rho_1(t)dt \text{ for } \nu(A) = \int_A \rho_2(t)dt \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

From [6] $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$, μ, ν satisfy (\mathbf{H}_4) and $\sin(t) + \sin(\sqrt{2}t) + \frac{\pi}{2}$ is almost periodic.

We have

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu([- \tau, \tau])}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{-\tau}^0 e^t dt + \int_0^{\tau} dt}{2 \int_{-\tau}^0 t dt} = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-\tau} + \tau}{\tau^2} = 0 < \infty,$$

which implies that (\mathbf{H}_2) is satisfied.

For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arctan t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ therefore, for all $\theta \in [t - r, t]$, $\arctan(t - r) \leq \arctan(\theta)$. It follows $\left| \arctan \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \theta \leq \left| \arctan(t - r) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan(t - r)$, implies that

$\left| \arctan \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^2 \leq \left| \arctan(t - r) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^2$ hence $\sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \left| \arctan \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \arctan(t - r) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^2$.

One the one hand, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_0^\tau \mathbb{E} \left| \arctan(t - r) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^2 dt &= \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_0^\tau \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan(t - r) \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_0^\tau \frac{\pi^2}{4} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{4\tau} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^0 \mathbb{E} \left| \arctan(t - r) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^2 e^t dt &\leq \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_0^\tau \frac{\pi^2}{4} e^t dt \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2(1 - e^{-\tau})}{4\tau} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([- \tau, \tau])} \int_{-\tau}^{+\tau} \sup_{\theta \in [t-r, t]} \mathbb{E} \left| \arctan \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^2 d\mu(t) = 0$$

It follows that $t \mapsto \arctan t - \frac{\pi}{2}$ is square mean (μ, ν) -ergodic of class r , consequently, f is uniformly square mean (μ, ν) -pseudo almost periodic of class r . Moreover, L is bounded linear operator from C to $L^2(P, L^2((0, \pi)))$.

Let k be the lipschiz constant of h , then for every $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C$ and $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|f(t, \phi_1)(x) - f(t, \phi_2)(x)\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-r}^0 \left[h(\theta, \phi_1(\theta)(x)) - h(\theta, \phi_2(\theta)(x)) \right] d\theta \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{-r}^0 \mathbb{E} \left\| h(\theta, \phi_1(\theta)(x)) - h(\theta, \phi_2(\theta)(x)) \right\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{-r}^0 k \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1(\theta)(x) - \phi_2(\theta)(x) \right\|^2 d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|f(t, \phi_1)(x) - f(t, \phi_2)(x)\|^2 &\leq kr \sup_{-r \leq \theta \leq 0} \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1(\theta)(x) - \phi_2(\theta)(x) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq kr\alpha \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1(\theta)(x) - \phi_2(\theta)(x) \right\|^2 \text{ for a certain } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we conclude that f and g are Lipschitz continuous and $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic of class r .

Moreover, since h is stochastically bounded, i.e $\mathbb{E} \|h(t, \phi(t)(x))\| \leq \beta, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|g(t, \phi)(x)\|^2 &\leq \frac{4 + \pi}{2} + \int_{-r}^0 \mathbb{E} \left\| h(\theta, \phi(\theta)(x)) \right\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{4 + \pi}{2} + r.\beta \\ &\leq \beta_1 \text{ with } \beta_1 = \frac{4 + \pi}{2} + r.\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that g satisfies (\mathbf{H}_5)

For the hyperbolicity, we suppose that

$$(\mathbf{H}_8) \int_{-r}^0 |G(\theta)| d\theta < 1.$$

Proposition 5.1. [11] *Assume that (\mathbf{H}_6) and (\mathbf{H}_7) holds. Then the semigroup $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is hyperbolic.*

Then by Proposition (4.24) we deduce the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Under the above assumptions, if $Lip(h)$ is small enough, then equation (5.1) has a unique $cl(\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost periodic solution ν of class r .*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adimy, A. Elazzouzi, K. Ezzinbi, Reduction principle and dynamic behaviors for a class of partial functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* 71 (2009) 1709–1727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.01.008>.
- [2] M. Adimy, A. Elazzouzi, K. Ezzinbi, Bohr–Neugebauer type theorem for some partial neutral functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* 66 (2007) 1145–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2006.01.011>.
- [3] M. Adimy, K. Ezzinbi, M. Laklach, Spectral decomposition for partial neutral functional differential equations, *Canadian Appl. Math. Quart.* 9 (1) (2001) 1–34.
- [4] J. Blot, P. Cieutat, K. Ezzinbi, Measure theory and pseudo almost automorphic functions: New developments and applications, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* 75 (2012) 2426–2447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2011.10.041>.
- [5] P. H. Bezandry, T. Diagana Square-mean almost periodic solutions nonautonomous stochastic differential equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 2007 (2007) 117.
- [6] J. Blot, P. Cieutat, K. Ezzinbi, New approach for weighted pseudo-almost periodic functions under the light of measure theory, basic results and applications, *Appl. Anal.* 92 (2013) 493–526. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2011.628941>.
- [7] T. Diagana, K. Ezzinbi and M. Miraoui, Pseudo-almost periodic and pseudo-almost automorphic solutions to some evolution equations involving theoretical measure theory, *CUBO* 16 (02) (2014) 01–31.
- [8] T. Diagana, *Semilinear Evolution Equations and Their Applications*, Springer, (2018).
- [9] M.A. Diop, K. Ezzinbi, M.M. Mbaye, Measure theory and S^2 -pseudo almost periodic and automorphic process: application to stochastic evolution equations, *Afr. Mat.* 26 (2015) 779–812. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-014-0247-x>.
- [10] M. Diop, K. Ezzinbi, M. Mbaye, Measure theory and square-mean pseudo almost periodic and automorphic process: application to stochastic evolution equations, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 41 (2018) 287–310.
- [11] K. Ezzinbi, S. Fatajou, N’Guérékata, Pseudo almost automorphic solutions for dissipative differential equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 351 (2009) 765–772. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.11.017>.
- [12] K. Ezzinbi, S. Fatajou, G.M. N’Guérékata, C^n -almost automorphic solutions for partial neutral functional differential equations, *Appl. Anal.* 86 (9) (2007) 1127–1146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036810701584591>.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill Book Compagny, New-York, 1986.
- [14] I. Zabsonre, H. Toure, Pseudo-almost periodic and pseudo-almost automorphic solutions of class r under the light of measure theory, *Afr. Diaspora J. Math.* 19 (1) (2016) 58–86.